

Planning and Rights of Way Panel

Tuesday, 4th October, 2016
at 6.00 pm

PLEASE NOTE TIME OF MEETING

Conference Room 3 and 4 Civic Centre

This meeting is open to the public

Members

Councillor Denness (Chair)
Councillor Coombs (Vice-Chair)
Councillor Barnes-Andrews
Councillor Claisse
Councillor L Harris
Councillor Hecks
Councillor Mintoff

Contacts

Democratic Support Officer
Ed Grimshaw
Tel: 023 8083 2390
Email: ed.grimshaw@southampton.gov.uk

Planning and Development Manager
Samuel Fox
Tel: 023 8083 2044
Email: samuel.fox@southampton.gov.uk

PUBLIC INFORMATION

Role of the Planning and Rights of Way Panel

The Panel deals with various planning and rights of way functions. It determines planning applications and is consulted on proposals for the draft development plan.

Public Representations

Procedure / Public Representations

At the discretion of the Chair, members of the public may address the meeting on any report included on the agenda in which they have a relevant interest. Any member of the public wishing to address the meeting should advise the Democratic Support Officer (DSO) whose contact details are on the front sheet of the agenda.

Smoking policy – The Council operates a no-smoking policy in all civic buildings

Mobile Telephones:- Please switch your mobile telephones to silent whilst in the meeting

Use of Social Media:- The Council supports the video or audio recording of meetings open to the public, for either live or subsequent broadcast. However, if, in the Chair's opinion, a person filming or recording a meeting or taking photographs is interrupting proceedings or causing a disturbance, under the Council's Standing Orders the person can be ordered to stop their activity, or to leave the meeting.

By entering the meeting room you are consenting to being recorded and to the use of those images and recordings for broadcasting and or/training purposes. The meeting may be recorded by the press or members of the public.

Any person or organisation filming, recording or broadcasting any meeting of the Council is responsible for any claims or other liability resulting from them doing so.

Details of the Council's Guidance on the recording of meetings is available on the Council's website.

Southampton City Council's Priorities

- Jobs for local people
- Prevention and early intervention
- Protecting vulnerable people
- Affordable housing
- Services for all
- City pride
- A sustainable Council

Fire Procedure – In the event of a fire or other emergency a continuous alarm will sound and you will be advised by Council officers what action to take.

Access – Access is available for disabled people. Please contact the Democratic Support Officer who will help to make any necessary arrangements.

Dates of Meetings: Municipal Year 2016/17

2016	
7 June	13 September
21 June	4 October
12 July	25 October
2 August	15 November
23 August	6 December

2017	
10 January	
21 February	
14 March	
4 April	
25 April	

CONDUCT OF MEETING

Terms of Reference

The terms of reference of the Planning and Rights of Way Panel are contained in Part 3 (Schedule 2) of the Council's Constitution

Business to be discussed

Only those items listed on the attached agenda may be considered at this meeting.

Rules of Procedure

The meeting is governed by the Council Procedure Rules as set out in Part 4 of the Constitution.

Quorum

The minimum number of appointed Members required to be in attendance to hold the meeting is 3.

DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS

Members are required to disclose, in accordance with the Members' Code of Conduct, **both** the existence **and** nature of any "Disclosable Pecuniary Interest" or "Other Interest" they may have in relation to matters for consideration on this Agenda.

DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY INTERESTS

A Member must regard himself or herself as having a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest in any matter that they or their spouse, partner, a person they are living with as husband or wife, or a person with whom they are living as if they were a civil partner in relation to:

- (i) Any employment, office, trade, profession or vocation carried on for profit or gain.
- (ii) Sponsorship:

Any payment or provision of any other financial benefit (other than from Southampton City Council) made or provided within the relevant period in respect of any expense incurred by you in carrying out duties as a member, or towards your election expenses. This includes any payment or financial benefit from a trade union within the meaning of the Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992.

- (iii) Any contract which is made between you / your spouse etc (or a body in which the you / your spouse etc has a beneficial interest) and Southampton City Council under which goods or services are to be provided or works are to be executed, and which has not been fully discharged.

- (iv) Any beneficial interest in land which is within the area of Southampton.

- (v) Any license (held alone or jointly with others) to occupy land in the area of Southampton for a month or longer.

- (vi) Any tenancy where (to your knowledge) the landlord is Southampton City Council and the tenant is a body in which you / your spouse etc has a beneficial interests.

- (vii) Any beneficial interest in securities of a body where that body (to your knowledge) has a place of business or land in the area of Southampton, and either:

- a) the total nominal value for the securities exceeds £25,000 or one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that body, or
- b) if the share capital of that body is of more than one class, the total nominal value of the shares of any one class in which you / your spouse etc has a beneficial interest that exceeds one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that class.

Other Interests

A Member must regard himself or herself as having an, 'Other Interest' in any membership of, or occupation of a position of general control or management in:

Any body to which they have been appointed or nominated by Southampton City Council

Any public authority or body exercising functions of a public nature

Any body directed to charitable purposes

Any body whose principal purpose includes the influence of public opinion or policy

Principles of Decision Making

All decisions of the Council will be made in accordance with the following principles:-

- proportionality (i.e. the action must be proportionate to the desired outcome);
- due consultation and the taking of professional advice from officers;
- respect for human rights;
- a presumption in favour of openness, accountability and transparency;
- setting out what options have been considered;
- setting out reasons for the decision; and
- clarity of aims and desired outcomes.

In exercising discretion, the decision maker must:

- understand the law that regulates the decision making power and gives effect to it. The decision-maker must direct itself properly in law;
- take into account all relevant matters (those matters which the law requires the authority as a matter of legal obligation to take into account);
- leave out of account irrelevant considerations;
- act for a proper purpose, exercising its powers for the public good;
- not reach a decision which no authority acting reasonably could reach, (also known as the "rationality" or "taking leave of your senses" principle);
- comply with the rule that local government finance is to be conducted on an annual basis. Save to the extent authorised by Parliament, 'live now, pay later' and forward funding are unlawful; and
- act with procedural propriety in accordance with the rules of fairness.

AGENDA

1 APOLOGIES AND CHANGES IN PANEL MEMBERSHIP (IF ANY)

To note any changes in membership of the Panel made in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 4.3.

2 DISCLOSURE OF PERSONAL AND PECUNIARY INTERESTS

In accordance with the Localism Act 2011, and the Council's Code of Conduct, Members to disclose any personal or pecuniary interests in any matter included on the agenda for this meeting.

3 STATEMENT FROM THE CHAIR

CONSIDERATION OF PLANNING APPLICATIONS

4 PLANNING APPLICATION - 16/00885/FUL- FORMER FORD MOTOR CO, WIDE LANE

(Pages 5 - 46)

Report of the Service Lead – Infrastructure, Planning and Development recommending delegated authority be granted in respect of an application for a proposed development at the above address, attached.

5 PLANNING APPLICATION- 16/00764/FUL - 65 SUTTONS PLACE

(Pages 47 - 70)

Report of the Service Lead – Planning, Infrastructure and Development recommending that conditional authority be granted in respect of an application for a proposed development at the above address, attached.

Monday, 26 September 2016

SERVICE DIRECTOR, LEGAL AND GOVERNANCE

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Annex

INDEX OF PLANNING APPLICATIONS FOR DECISION

DATE: 4th October 2016 - 6pm Conference Rooms 3 and 4, 1st Floor, Civic Centre

Main Agenda Item Number	Officer	Recommendation	PSA	Application Number / Site Address
1	SH	DEL	15	16/00885/FUL Former Ford Motor Co, Wide Lane
2	AC	CAP	5	16/00764/FUL 65 Suttones Place

PSA – Public Speaking Allowance (mins); CAP - Approve with Conditions: DEL - Delegate to Officers: PER - Approve without Conditions: REF – Refusal: TCON – Temporary Consent: NOBJ – No objection

SH – Stephen Harrison

AC – Anna Coombes

Southampton City Council - Planning and Rights of Way Panel

Report of Planning & Development Manager

Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985

Index of Documents referred to in the preparation of reports on Planning

Applications:

Background Papers

1. Documents specifically related to the application
 - (a) Application forms, plans, supporting documents, reports and covering letters
 - (b) Relevant planning history
 - (c) Response to consultation requests
 - (d) Representations made by interested parties

2. Statutory Plans
 - (a) Hampshire, Portsmouth, Southampton and New Forest National Park Minerals and Waste Plan (Adopted 2013)
 - (b) Amended City of Southampton Local Plan Review (Adopted March 2015)
 - (c) Local Transport Plan 2006 – 2011 (June 2006)
 - (d) Amended City of Southampton Local Development Framework – Core Strategy (inc. Partial Review) (adopted March 2015)
 - (e) Adopted City Centre Action Plan (2015)
 - (f) Community Infrastructure Levy Charging Schedule (2013)
 - (g) Bassett Neighbourhood Plan (Adopted 2016)

3. Statutory Plans in Preparation

4. Policies and Briefs published and adopted by Southampton City Council
 - (a) Old Town Development Strategy (2004)
 - (b) Public Art Strategy
 - (c) North South Spine Strategy (2004)
 - (d) Southampton City Centre Development Design Guide (2004)
 - (e) Streetscape Manual (2005)
 - (f) Residential Design Guide (2006)
 - (g) Developer Contributions SPD (September 2013)
 - (h) Greening the City - (Shoreburs; Lordsdale; Weston; Rollesbrook Valley; Bassett Wood and Lordswood Greenways) - 1985-1995.
 - (i) Women in the Planned Environment (1994)
 - (j) Advertisement Control Brief and Strategy (1991)
 - (k) Biodiversity Action Plan (2009)
 - (l) Economic Development Strategy (1996)
 - (m) Test Lane (1984)
 - (n) Itchen Valley Strategy (1993)

- (o) Portswood Residents' Gardens Conservation Area Character Appraisal (1999)
- (p) Land between Aldermoor Road and Worston Road Development Brief Character Appraisal(1997)
- (q) The Bevois Corridor Urban Design Framework (1998)
- (r) Southampton City Centre Urban Design Strategy (2000)
- (s) St Mary's Place Development Brief (2001)
- (t) Ascupart Street Development Brief (2001)
- (u) Woolston Riverside Development Brief (2004)
- (v) West Quay Phase 3 Development Brief (2001)
- (w) Northern Above Bar Development Brief (2002)
- (x) Design Guidance for the Uplands Estate (Highfield) Conservation Area (1993)
- (y) Design Guidance for the Ethelburt Avenue (Bassett Green Estate) Conservation Area (1993)
- (z) Canute Road Conservation Area Character Appraisal (1996)
- (aa) The Avenue Conservation Area Character Appraisal (1997)
- (bb) St James Road Conservation Area Character Appraisal (1996)
- (cc) Banister Park Character Appraisal (1991)*
- (dd) Bassett Avenue Character Appraisal (1982)*
- (ee) Howard Road Character Appraisal (1991) *
- (ff) Lower Freemantle Character Appraisal (1981) *
- (gg) Mid Freemantle Character Appraisal (1982)*
- (hh) Westridge Road Character Appraisal (1989) *
- (ii) Westwood Park Character Appraisal (1981) *
- (jj) Cranbury Place Character Appraisal (1988) *
- (kk) Carlton Crescent Character Appraisal (1988) *
- (ll) Old Town Conservation Area Character Appraisal (1974) *
- (mm) Oxford Street Conservation Area Character Appraisal (1982) *
- (nn) Bassett Green Village Character Appraisal (1987)
- (oo) Old Woolston and St Annes Road Character Appraisal (1988)
- (pp) Northam Road Area Improvement Strategy (1987)*
- (qq) Houses in Multiple Occupation (2012)
- (rr) Vyse Lane/ 58 French Street (1990)*
- (ss) Tauntons College Highfield Road Development Guidelines (1993)*
- (tt) Old Woolston Development Control Brief (1974)*
- (uu) City Centre Characterisation Appraisal (2009)
- (vv) Parking standards (2011)

* NB – Policies in these documents superseded by the Residential Design Guide (September 2006, page 10), albeit character appraisal sections still to be had regard to.

5. Documents relating to Highways and Traffic

- (a) Hampshire C.C. - Movement and Access in Residential Areas
- (b) Hampshire C.C. - Safety Audit Handbook
- (c) Southampton C.C. - Cycling Plan (June 2000)
- (d) Southampton C.C. - Access for All (March 1995)

- (e) Institute of Highways and Transportation - Transport in the Urban Environment
- (f) I.H.T. - Traffic Impact Assessment Guidelines
- (g) Freight Transport Association - Design for deliveries
- (h) DETR Traffic Advisory Leaflets (various)

6. Government Policy Planning Advice

- (a) National Planning Policy Framework (27.3.2012)
- (b) National Planning Policy Guidance Suite

7. Other Published Documents

- (a) Planning for Daylight and Sunlight - DOE
- (b) Coast and Countryside Conservation Policy - HCC
- (c) The influence of trees on house foundations in clay soils - BREDK
- (d) Survey and Analysis - Landscape and Development HCC
- (e) Root Damage to Trees - siting of dwellings and special precautions – Practice Note 3 NHDC
- (f) Shopping Policies in South Hampshire - HCC
- (g) Buildings at Risk Register SCC (1998)
- (h) Southampton City Safety Audit (1998)
- (i) Urban Capacity Study 2005 – 2011 (March 2006)
- (j) Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (March 2013)

Agenda Item 4

**Planning, Transport & Sustainability Division
Planning and Rights of Way Panel 4th October 2016
Planning Application Report of the Planning and Development Manager**

Application address: Former Ford Motor Co, Wide Lane, Southampton			
Proposed development: Development to provide new industrial and warehouse buildings for business use (class B1c), industry (class B2) and storage/distribution (class B8) with landscaping, tree planting and new boundary treatment, new car parking and service areas, new vehicular access from Wide Lane and associated works - <i>description amended following receipt of amended plans showing the removal of a proposed footpath through the site to Stoneham Cemetery Road and an increase to the acoustic fence between unit 1 and 4 along the southern boundary from 2.1 to 4 metres.</i>			
Application number	16/00885/FUL	Application type	FUL
Case officer	Stephen Harrison	Public speaking time	15 minutes
Last date for determination:	19.08.16 (PPA)	Ward	Swaythling
Reason for Panel Referral:	Request by Ward Member <u>and</u> five or more letters of objection have been received <u>and</u> the scheme is of strategic importance to the economic growth of the city.	Ward Councillors	Cllr Mintoff Cllr Painton Cllr Vassiliou
Referred to Panel by:	Cllr Painton	Reason:	Concerns raised about pedestrian links through to Stoneham Cemetery Road

Applicant: Mountpark Logistics	Agent: Oxalis Planning Attn. Mr Steve Harley
---------------------------------------	--

Recommendation Summary	Delegate to Planning and Development Manager to grant planning permission subject to criteria listed in report
-------------------------------	--

Community Infrastructure Levy Liable	No
---	----

Reason for granting Permission

The development is acceptable taking into account the policies and proposals of the Development Plan as set out below. The Council has considered the proposal in the context of the site allocation for industrial and warehousing development as set out in the Development Plan, and the importance of the additional employment to be created by this development. The development would have an impact on the surrounding area in terms of character and appearance, traffic and noise but that this impact can be mitigated by Section 106 obligations, and planning conditions, and has been assessed in the context of the site's former historic use for significant manufacturing. The scheme has been amended during the application process to remove the pedestrian link to Stoneham Cemetery Road. Other material considerations have been considered, as set out in the report to the Planning and Rights of Way Panel (04.10.16), and are not judged to have sufficient weight to justify a refusal of the application. The scheme is therefore judged to be in accordance with the development plan as required by Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and planning permission should therefore be granted. In reaching this decision the Local Planning Authority offered a pre-application planning service and has sought to work with the applicant in a positive and proactive manner as required by paragraphs 186-187 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2012).

Policies - SDP1, SDP4, SDP5, SDP7, SDP9, SDP10, SDP13, SDP14, SDP15, SDP16, SDP17, SDP19, SDP22, NE4, NE5, TI2, HE6 and REI9(ii) of the City of Southampton Local Plan Review (LPR - as amended 2015) and CS6, CS7, CS13, CS18, CS19, CS20, CS22, CS23, CS24 and CS25 of the Local Development Framework (LDF) Core Strategy Development Plan Document (as amended 2015) and guidance in the NPPF (2012).

Appendix attached			
1	Development Plan Policies	2	Hampshire County Council Response

Recommendation in Full

1. Delegate to the Planning and Development Manager to grant conditional planning permission subject to the completion of a S.106 Legal Agreement to secure:
 - i. Either a s.278 Agreement to undertake agreed works within the highway or a financial contribution and other highway obligations, including Traffic Regulation Orders, where necessary, towards site specific transport improvements in the vicinity of the site in line with policies SDP4 and TI2 of the City of Southampton Local Plan Review (as amended 2015), Policies CS18 and CS25 of the adopted LDF Core Strategy (as amended 2015) and the adopted SPD relating to Planning Obligations (September 2013); to include replacement bus stops along Wide Lane with Real Time Information (RTI), the introduction of a dedicated cycle lane along Wide Lane (space permitting) and the ability to introduce a signalised junction into the site should future assessments demonstrate that it would be necessary, and the new access arrangement with signage, as shown on plan ref: 15/263/004 Rev A, to discourage GHVs from turning left out of the site;
 - ii. An off-site contribution towards bridge improvement works to secure access from the site to Junction 5 of the M27 as requested by Hampshire County Council and the Hampshire Chamber of Commerce, in line with policies SDP4 and TI2 of the City of Southampton Local Plan Review (as amended 2015), Policies CS18 and CS25 of the adopted LDF Core Strategy (as amended 2015) and the adopted SPD relating to Planning Obligations (September 2013);

- iii. Submission of a highway condition survey to ensure any damage to the adjacent highway network attributable to the build process is repaired by the developer;
 - iv. Submission of a Training & Employment Management Plan committing to adopting local labour and employment initiatives, both during and post construction, in accordance with Policies CS24 and CS25 of the Local Development Framework Core Strategy Development Plan Document - Adopted Version (as amended 2015) and the adopted SPD relating to Planning Obligations (September 2013);
 - v. The submission, approval and implementation of a Carbon Management Plan setting out how carbon neutrality will be achieved and/or how remaining carbon emissions from the development will be mitigated in accordance with Policy CS20 of the Core Strategy and the Planning Obligations SPD (September 2013);
 - vi. Provision of public art in accordance with the Council's Public Art Strategy to reflect the site's importance, historically, to UK manufacturing;
 - vii. Submission and implementation of a Construction Traffic Management Plan;
 - viii. Submission and implementation of an Operational Phase Lorry Routing Agreement to limit HGV traffic turning left out of the site; and
 - ix. Submission and implementation of a Staff Travel Plan.
2. In the event that the legal agreement is not completed within two months of the Panel the Planning and Development Manager be authorised to refuse permission following consultation with the Chair of the Planning and Rights of Way Panel on the ground of failure to secure the provisions of the Section 106 Legal Agreement.
 3. That the Planning and Development Manager be given delegated powers to add, vary and/or delete relevant parts of the Section 106 agreement and/or conditions as necessary. In the event that the scheme's viability is tested prior to planning permission being issued and, following an independent assessment of the figures, it is no longer viable to provide the full package of measures set out above then a report will be bought back to the Planning and Rights of Way Panel for further consideration of the planning application.

1.0 The site and its context

- 1.1 The application site is some 7.6 hectares in area, and is situated on the eastern side of Wide Lane. The application site forms part of the former Ford factory site, which itself has a significant history of manufacturing having been central to the manufacturing of aircraft components and parts during the Second World War. Following the War the site evolved and grew into the manufacture of vehicle chassis and eventually the Ford Transit van before its closure in 2013.
- 1.2 The application site is bounded to the east by Stoneham Cemetery Road, to the north by the retained factory buildings (in separate land ownership), the M27 and Southampton Airport beyond, to the west by Wide Lane and the Southampton to London Waterloo railway line, and to the south by the back gardens of residential neighbours fronting Walnut Avenue. The application site is currently a cleared site with demolition of part of the former Ford factory having taking place to slab level. There is mature tree planting to the site's eastern, western and southern boundaries,

and the site is designated in the Local Plan Review (LPR) for light or general industrial (Classes B1c and B2), research and development (Class B1b), storage or distribution (Class B8) and ancillary office use (LPR Policy REI9(ii) refers). The application site is close to the administrative boundary of the city with Eastleigh Borough Council.

2.0 Proposal

- 2.1 The application involves developing this currently open site with four business/storage and distribution buildings to provide a total of 35,371 sq.m square metres of floorspace (Gross External). This is a speculative development with no end user(s) currently reported. The largest of the four buildings (Unit 1) would run along the southern site boundary for 160 metres and would provide 12,262 square metres of floorspace. Unit 2 in the northern part of the site, would provide 8,962 sq.m. Unit 3 sits adjacent Unit 2 and would provide approximately 5,606 sq.m. Unit 4 completes the development, has a floorspace of 8,514sq.m, and also sits alongside Unit 1 on the site's southern boundary. It has a length of 110 metres. It is separated from Unit 1 by car parking and a 4m high acoustic fence. Ancillary office space is also proposed to serve the development.
- 2.2 The development seeks flexibility in terms of land use to enable the buildings to operate for either manufacturing and/or industry (Use Class B1c/B2 – 7am-7pm) or storage and distribution (Use Class B8). The latter would potentially be on a 24 hour/day basis as was the former Ford factory. Such flexibility is not uncommon for this type of speculative development and details of late night activity can be secured with the attached planning conditions.
- 2.3 Vehicular access would be from a new 'T' junction onto Wide Lane, with scope in the future for this junction to be signalised in the event that the development's traffic generation is higher than currently anticipated. 4 trees including a Field Maple, 2 Willows and a Silver Birch will be felled to accommodate this access. A total of 372 car parking spaces would be provided for the four units (assuming a B8 operator) with scope for increasing the car parking to 567 should the levels of B8 reduce to 50%, with the hardstanding reallocated from lorry parking and turning space to staff car parking. Plans have been submitted to show alternative layouts for all buildings.
- 2.4 The proposed external materials will be a mixture of horizontal cladding panels, dark rainscreen cladding and extensive areas of glazing serving the office uses. Officers are still in dialogue with the developers to ensure that the cladding along the site's southern boundary (to the rear of the existing residential neighbours) is carefully chosen so that any glare from the sun is properly considered and mitigated.
- 2.5 The height of the buildings will be 12 metres to the eaves and between 15.6 to 15.9 metres in height to the ridge. Between the two buildings on the southern boundary, an acoustic fence would be built to a total height of approximately 4 metres. A 10 metre wide mature landscaped buffer is proposed upon a 1.2 metre high bund filling the gap between the buildings and the common boundary separating the development from the residential neighbours. A back to back distance between buildings of between 23 and 30 metres is proposed. Existing trees are to be retained except where the new access onto Wide Lane is proposed.

2.6 The application has been amended since it was initially submitted. The main changes to the scheme involve the removal of a pedestrian link from Stoneham Cemetery Road, and an increase in height to the acoustic fence between units 1 and 4. Objectors, and those neighbours most affected, have been re-notified of these changes. If approved the applicants suggest that the development would take 6-8 months to complete and it is hoped the buildings could be occupied by late 2017.

3.0 Relevant Planning Policy

3.1 The Development Plan for Southampton currently comprises the “saved” policies of the City of Southampton Local Plan Review (as amended 2015) and the City of Southampton Core Strategy (as amended 2015). The most relevant policies to these proposals are set out at **Appendix 1**. The application site is designated for employment development under Policy REI9(ii) which reads as follows:

3.2 *REI 9 Major Employment Sites*

The major employment sites are defined on the Proposals Map and will be safeguarded for employment use. Development proposals will be permitted as follows:

(ii) Ford’s, Wide Lane for light or general industrial (Classes B1c and B2), research and development (Class B1b), storage or distribution (Class B8) and ancillary office use.

3.3 LDF Policy CS7 adds that:

In order to meet the South East Plan’s economic aims, as set out in Policy CS 6, there is a strong need to safeguard employment sites. All existing employment sites and allocations will be safeguarded for employment use...

3.4 Major developments are expected to meet high sustainable construction standards in accordance with Core Strategy Policy CS20 and Local Plan “saved” Policy SDP13. The applicant’s pre-assessment for this scheme predicts that the buildings will achieve the Building Research Establishment’s Environmental Assessment Method (BREEAM) ‘Excellent’ rating as required.

3.5 SCC Planning Policy – No objection

As a general principle, we support the proposal for redeveloping the site with B1c, B2 and B8 uses as they would help towards maximise employment opportunities on the site. It would therefore be compliant with criterion ii of Amended Local Plan Review retained Policy REI 9 ‘Major Employment Sites’ and Core Strategy Policy CS 6 ‘Economic Growth’ in contributing towards the delivery of industrial and warehouse development.

3.6 *It is noted from the submitted plans that ancillary office development (two storey mezzanines) are proposed to be incorporated into 3 of the units. Core Strategy Policy CS 8 ‘Office Location’ is therefore applicable whereby office development greater than 750sq m gross will be directed towards the city, town or district centres in line with Government Guidance. It is further noted in paragraph 4.6.13 of the policy background / justification text within the Core Strategy that where office development is part of an industrial or research / development operation of a similar or larger scale belonging to the same company on the same site, the potential need for co-locating the offices with this wider operation will be considered. Therefore, it*

must be clear that the proposed ancillary offices would be ancillary and integral to a wider operation of the units.

- 3.7 *In order to maximise the benefits of the proposal, it will be necessary to take account of Core Strategy Policy CS 24 'Access to Jobs' whereby measures will be sought from major employment generating development to promote access to jobs it creates amongst those residents of the city who can have difficulty returning to the labour market. This will be particularly relevant to the proposal in that it would provide industrial development significantly greater than 1,700 square metres, warehouse development significantly greater than 4,000 square metres and well over 50 full time equivalent jobs (i.e. 900 – 1,000 full-time equivalent employees).*
- 3.8 *In terms of our existing planning policies within the Amended Local Plan Review (2015) and Amended Core Strategy (2015), we do not have anything which specifically requires one type of employment use (i.e. B1-8) over another. It would be preferable if the proposal was to include a mix of B1c, B2 and B8 uses as this would see a higher job density created. However, it is also noted that B8 uses can provide skilled logistical jobs and provide potential linkages to Port related activities and with this in mind, we can be flexible on the overall mix of uses proposed if this can be demonstrated by the applicant/agent. Such provision would also help towards delivering 97,000sq.m's of industrial and warehouse development (of which there is no distinction between the two) in the city between 2006 and 2026 as set out in the Adopted Core Strategy (2015). The recently published PUSH Spatial Position Statement (June 2016) shows a planned increase of 74,000sq.m of B-class employment floorspace over the 2011-2034 period whereby the proposal would also help towards meeting this target which is based on latest evidence.*
- 3.9 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) came into force on 27th March 2012 and replaces the previous set of national planning policy guidance notes and statements. The Council has reviewed the Core Strategy to ensure that it is in compliance with the NPPF and are satisfied that the vast majority of policies accord with the aims of the NPPF and therefore retain their full material weight for decision making purposes, unless otherwise indicated.

4.0 Relevant Planning History

- 4.1 The site's physical development has evolved since the Second World War and has been used for manufacturing since before the current planning system. The Council's planning history records numerous additions to the site throughout this period although none of this planning history is directly relevant to the current application.
- 4.2 Part of the site to the north of this application site has been retained as a vehicle repair centre and export distribution centre (LPA ref: 14/00028/FUL), but the demolition of the other buildings has commenced, and is largely complete for this part of the wider site. These works were approved through the demolition 'prior approval' process (LPA ref: 14/01825/DPA, 15/00114/DPA and 15/01001/DPA).
- 4.3 The Panel will be aware that the Council has determined other planning applications for similar developments across the City and, by way of comparison, these can be summarised as follows:

4.4 • **Lidl Distribution - 12/00106/FUL**

Demolition of six residential properties and erection of a Regional Distribution Centre (42,820 square metres gross floor space - Class B8), 186 associated car parking spaces, HGV hardstanding, two sprinkler tanks and pump room and new peripheral landscaping. Proposal includes the stopping up of Lower Redbridge Lane and diversion of a public right of way.

Measures 311 metres in length and 124 metres in width.

The height of the building steps down from north to south in three elements:

The northern section has an eaves height of 16.66m and an overall parapet height of 18.33m; the central section has an eaves height of 14.22m and a parapet height of 15.89m; the lower and smallest section of the building at the southern end of the site has an eaves height of 11m and a parapet height of 13.10m.

4.5 • **NXP/UPS – 12/00975/OUT**

Outline application for re-development of the site to provide up to 20,360 square metres of employment floorspace (Classes B1(a) / B1(c) / B2 / B8) with ancillary offices, service areas, estate roads, landscaping and cycle/car parking.

The Parameters Plan (30348/FE/120) confirms that any subsequent reserved matters proposal will be restricted by the following:

Building Height - between 7.5 and 15.5m

Building Width - between 10 and 150m

4.6 • **UPS - 13/00206/REM**

Application for reserved matters approval (access, appearance, landscaping, layout and scale) pursuant to outline planning permission dated 30 January 2013 (reference 12/00975/OUT) for redevelopment of the site. This application is for part of the site to provide an industrial/warehouse unit (Classes B1c, B2 and B8 - total floorspace of 8600 square metres) with access from Second Avenue and Allington Road, servicing areas and car parking.

Seeking reserved matters approval for Phase 1 of the site's redevelopment. It comprises 8,600sq.m of B1c, B2 and B8 floorspace on 2.24 hectares of land. The proposals show a large distribution depot for UPS (comprising 7,520sq.m of B8 floorspace with 722sq.m of ancillary office and a Vehicle Maintenance Unit with 358sq.m). A modern warehouse building is proposed measuring 114m wide and 12.4m tall.

4.7 • **Selco - 14/01273/REM**

Application for reserved matters approval (Layout, Access, Appearance, Scale, and Landscaping) pursuant to outline permission reference 12/00975/OUT for redevelopment for industrial and warehousing use. Application for discharge of conditions 3 (car parking), 6 (drainage), 16 (refuse and cycle storage) and 18 (tree protection) of the outline permission

4.8 • **Test Lane - 14/01911/FUL**

Redevelopment of the site to provide 19,132 square metres of employment floorspace in three buildings (Units 1 and 3 to be storage and distribution use (Class B8), Unit 2 to be Business use (Class B1c) and/or storage and distribution use (Class B8)) with an area of open space, associated landscaping, servicing areas and car parking with vehicular access from Test Lane (amended description following alterations to the planning application).

19,132 square metres of floorspace. The largest of the three buildings (Unit 1) 10,860 square metres of storage and distribution unit (Class B8). Unit 2 would provide approximately 3,630 sq.m floorspace for either Business Use - light industrial (Class B1 c) or Storage and Distribution Use (Class B8). Unit 3 would provide approximately 4,640 sq.m floorspace (Class B8). The development would potentially operate on a 24 hour basis. The height of the buildings will be 12 metres to the eaves and 14.3 metres to the top of the roof. Between the two buildings on the southern boundary, an acoustic fence would be built above an earth bund to a total height of approximately 12 metres.

5.0 Consultation Responses and Notification Representations

5.1 In accordance with the Council's Statement of Community Involvement, and best practice, the applicants held their own pre-application public consultation event on 8th April 2016 at which 50 local residents attended.

5.2 Following the receipt of the planning application a publicity exercise in line with department procedures was undertaken which included notifying adjoining and nearby landowners (some 440 letters sent), placing a press advertisement (03.06.16) and erecting a site notice (03.06.16). A re-notification was also undertaken following a change to the acoustic fence and linkages into Stoneham Cemetery Road. At the time of writing the report 7 representations have been received from surrounding residents, including a Panel referral request from Ward Cllr Painton due to the proposed pedestrian access into Stoneham Cemetery Road. The following is a summary of the points raised:

- 5.3
- *The height of the proposed buildings and their proximity to the properties in Walnut Grove will result in late night disturbance, loss of light, overlooking, overshadowing and they are too close. Suggests noisy activity is restricted to 7:30am-6pm Monday to Saturday.*

Response

The proposed development introduces taller development closer to existing residents as described. A 24 hour operation is also sought. Whilst every resident's comments are important to the planning determination of this case it is worth noting that only 1 resident living in Walnut Grove has objected to the application and their concerns are addressed more fully in the 'Planning Considerations' section of this report.

- 5.4
- *There is a lack of parking on site and measures should be put in place to ensure workers will not park in local streets.*

Response

The proposed development will be served by between 372 and 567 car parking spaces depending upon the end mix of uses. These totals are based upon the Council's maximum standards (of 1 space per 90sq.m (B8), 1 space per 45sq.m (B2 and B1c)) and, as such, the car parking offer accords with the development plan standards. In the event that all four buildings are taken for storage and distribution, which is a possibility given the excellent transport links to the motorway network and Port of Southampton, the maximum number of spaces required would be 393 spaces based on these standards. A significant amount of on-site parking is proposed and it is not envisaged that any overspill will occur; the Council could, however, introduce Controlled Parking Zones into the nearby streets following consultation with affected residents. This has not been requested by the Council's

Highways Team at the time of writing and does not currently form part of the recommendation.

- 5.5
- *The railway bridge at the top of Wide Lane is very narrow and has a sharp bend that cause problems for HGVs. A new railway crossing is needed for access to the M27 to avoid congestion caused by this development.*

Response

There is ongoing discussion with Hampshire County Council as to whether or not the development should contribute towards improvements to the existing bridge over the railway. A s.106 financial contribution can be secured if necessary. There are no plans for a new bridge link and any expectation that this development should provide this infrastructure would render the whole development unviable. In the context of the previous use it would also be unreasonable to expect this development to make such a significant contribution to improved infrastructure in the locality.

- 5.6
- *The proposed pedestrian link from the site into Stoneham Cemetery Road will compromise security, peace and will exacerbate parking issues as workers park off-site and walk through.*

Response

In design and highway terms improving linkages into the site from the east is seen positively. However, following these local concerns, and an objection from Hampshire Constabulary, the applicants have agreed to remove this link. Objectors have been re-notified of the change.

- 5.7
- *Construction traffic will add to congestion*

Response

No more so than any other major development site, and measures are in place to restrict construction hours and secure a management plan (including construction vehicle routing to ensure vehicles avoid residential areas).

- 5.8
- *The development will devalue my property*

Response

This statement is not supported by any evidence. In any event, the effect of development upon neighbouring property values is not a material consideration to which weight should be afforded in deciding on this planning application.

Consultation Responses

- 5.9 **SCC Highways** – Further discussion needed

The submitted Transport Assessment (as updated) attempts to identify the likely impacts that 35,371sq.m of employment uses could have on the local and strategic highway network. The likely trip generation, particularly by HGVs, across a 24 hour/day operation have not, however, in our opinion been properly presented and instead still focus upon peak hours thereby reducing the true impacts. Their assessment of the Ford traffic as a comparison is flawed and doesn't account for the shift pattern operated by Fords, which was a 3 shift system for factory workers, 6-2, 2-10, and 10-6. Office workers were 7.30-4.30. Similarly, as far as HGV movements are concerned, again, I think the figures are misleading; Fords would not have run HGVs at peak times as they did a great deal of HGV movements at night to avoid congestion on the network. Further work is still, therefore, required.

That said, the previous factory use provided some 100,000sq.m across a 24 hour

5.10 operation and against this baseline position the likely net trip generation is unlikely to be significant enough that it cannot be mitigated through off-site contributions and works. Further discussion with the applicant will be needed before the s.106 contributions can be agreed and the permission released, although agreement has been reached on the necessary improvements to public transport and cycle ways along Wide Lane. The applicants have also agreed that the proposed access can be future-proofed with payment made to the City Council so that traffic lights can be installed following occupation of the development; should their trip generation analysis prove to be an underestimate and vehicles are queuing within the development struggling to turn right out of the site towards the motorway. On this basis the development can proceed as the new access has been designed to accommodate 35,371sq.m of new development. The car and cycle parking provision shown is flexible to the final use and the overall numbers are compliant with our adopted maximum standards. Planning conditions, alongside the s.106 legal agreement, are recommended and controls are needed to ensure that HGVs associated with both the construction and operational phases use Wide Lane to gain access to the M27 rather than using the more residential streets to the south of the application site.

5.11 *Note: These comments were made prior to the receipt of the data presented in the table at paragraph 6.4.4 of this report. The trip generation discussions are ongoing and an update will be provided at the Panel meeting. The comments from Hampshire County Council (set out at **Appendix 2** and summarised below) are also relevant in these negotiations.*

5.12 **SCC Design – No objection**

I'm generally happy with what has been submitted, but with regard to the landscape proposals I've attached a marked up plan indicating where I would like to see some additional tree planting.

5.13 The green acoustic fence should arrive pre-grown for instant/immediate effect and I note from the submitted drawings this won't be the case. I feel that this is a critical feature of the landscape and should have instant impact, rather than having to wait 5-10 years for the full effect.

5.14 *Note: Amended plans have been received to meet these suggested changes.*

5.15 Given the critical importance of establishing the southern boundary in particular, we do need to see how they propose to ensure the establishment and ongoing management of the estate landscape. I am concerned about the establishment of the southern boundary as it will be quite a hostile environment for new plants, especially the large tree material and it will be important to understand how the scheme in particular is to be irrigated. It will be vital that we have a clear maintenance specification and ensure that we are notified upon completion to ensure that we can check what has been specified actually gets planted and in the correct quantities.

5.16 **SCC Sustainability Team – No objection – Initial concerns addressed**

The original BREEAM document showed a 5% improvement only, however if they are committing to the passive approach (as outlined) showing a 40% improvement on Target Emission Rates then no objection is raised subject to planning conditions.

5.17 **SCC Environmental Health – No objection following amendments**

i) Air Quality

I agree with the recommendations of the AQ report. An informative that infrastructure should be built into the development for charging points for electric cars to be installed at a later date is needed.

5.18 *Note: the nearest Air Quality Management Area is 400m north of the site located within Eastleigh Borough Council's administrative boundary.*

5.19 ii) Noise

5.20 Please would you find out from the applicant if the external noise levels from lorries includes refrigerated lorries, or are these excluded? If they are included, or to be included, I will want a condition for the refrigeration units to be plugged into the mains electrical supply while on site to reduce the use of diesel motors for the refrigeration unit, but to use electric motors. The noise calculations need to be recalculated if the refrigerated lorries have not been included.

5.21 The BS 4142 uses the LL9090 from all three monitoring points and aggregates them for the whole of the site. I believe the L90 should be calculated for each receiving location, particularly for Walnut Avenue, and the BS 4142 recalculated. The barrier at 2.5 metres between buildings 1 and 4 seems to me to be too low in this location, with noise being funnelled down the gap between the two buildings from the yard and parking area. It doesn't seem to me to fit with our discussions to move the buildings close to the edge of the site to act as a noise barrier, to allow this gap to remain for noise to escape through.

5.22 The noise from plant needs to recognise the design level for plant in Para 2.88 which states, 'Developments which in themselves are not normally considered to be noise generating, increasingly incorporate air handling fan or ventilation plant for heating and cooling. This type of plant can be a significant source of noise. To ensure that these noise sources do not increase the existing background level, their design noise emission specification should be designed at 10 decibels (A weighting) (dB(A)) below pre-existing background levels. This specification has regard to the prevention of a 'creeping' increase in background noise levels in the city. BS 4142 is not the method for determining the level in this case

5.23 The suggested condition for internal noise seems to me to be unenforceable, the building should be built with worst case in mind for the correct level of acoustic insulation to be installed at the time of first construction.

5.24 *Note: Following the change to the acoustic fence the Environmental Health Officer has confirmed that there will be very little impact on the residents of Walnut Avenue in noise terms.*

5.25 **SCC Environmental Health (Contaminated Land) – No objection**

I am now satisfied that the site is suitable for its proposed use and will not require any further investigation with respect to land contamination. There will be a condition that requires the developer to be vigilant for evidence of unsuspected contamination being revealed during ground works. If contamination is discovered the Local Planning Authority must be notified and the contaminated land managed appropriately. If no contamination is discovered by completion of the groundworks the developer must notify the Local Planning Authority so that the condition can be discharged in full. There will also be a condition that requires any soils imported

on to the site to be validated to show that they are suitable for use and not contaminated. Conditions relating to imported soils and unsuspected contamination are required although further investigation is now unnecessary.

5.26 **SCC Ecology** – No objection

The application site comprises a cleared site that was formerly a vehicle manufacturing facility. The site is predominately hard-standing with small areas of trees, shrubs and amenity grassland around the perimeter. As a consequence it has low ecological value.

5.27 The proposed development is similar in nature to the previous use and I do not believe that there will be any significant adverse ecological impacts. I am, however, concerned about the possibility of extra lighting being introduced along the northern section of Stoneham Cemetery Lane to facilitate the cycle and pedestrian access (*note: now removed*). Stoneham Cemetery contains suitable foraging habitat for bats however, no assessment has been made of bat foraging activity. As bats are adversely affected by artificial illumination I would expect an assessment to be made prior to the introduction of additional lighting.

5.28 I am supportive of the proposed living walls however, I would like to see the inclusion of a wider range of plants including flowering species such as clematis, summer jasmine and honeysuckle to provide habitat for pollinator species. I would also prefer to see the native ivy, *Hedera helix*, which is on the Royal Horticultural Society's Perfect for Pollinators list, used rather than Irish ivy which is not. I am happy with the species selected for the proposed thicket, the indigenous hedgerow and the wildflower grassland.

5.29 The ecological appraisal makes recommendations for a number of biodiversity mitigation and enhancement measures which I would like to see implemented via a planning condition.

5.30 **SCC Archaeology** – No objection

The application is supported, however I disagree with the assertions contained in the Archaeological Desk Based Assessment (DBA) that 'any such [archaeological] remains are only likely to survive in a truncated and/or highly fragmented state.' This statement is based on the previous development history of the site supported by a watching brief on engineering test pits carried out earlier this year. It should be noted that these test pits were not positioned to establish the presence or absence of archaeological remains, and would not comprise a sufficient sample to be able to confidently predict either way.

5.31 It is clear that there has been extensive groundworks associated with the construction and use of the factory (notably the WWII Air Raid shelters shown on Arcadis fig 3 (Appendix 3 of the DBA), and further disturbance is shown in Arcadis fig 4 - Drainage networks. It is also likely that there will have been some truncation associated with the factory building, however it is clear from previous archaeological work within the site (SOU 783) that there is sufficient potential for significant archaeological remains to be present to warrant further archaeological work.

5.32 The threat to archaeological deposits (and therefore the extent of archaeological work that will be required) can be minimised primarily through the design of the foundations. It is assumed that the proposed units will sit on deep pile foundations,

and it would be extremely helpful if a piling plan can be submitted to support the application. This will enable the production of a mitigation strategy that may limit the amount of archaeological work that will be required.

- 5.33 A formal archaeological evaluation should be commissioned, based on the information contained within the piling plan and guided by the known below-ground disturbance, to establish the nature, extent and significance of any surviving archaeological deposits. This may need to be followed by a further stage of archaeological intervention, which could range from a Watching Brief to targeted archaeological excavation in areas of greatest threat. Note that, depending on their state of preservation, the WWII air-raid shelters may be worthy of archaeological recording as well. Conditions recommended.

Note: Following these comments the Written Scheme of Investigation has been undertaken and once this report has been archived the archaeology of the site will have been correctly dealt with

- 5.34 **SCC Tree Team** – No objection

- 5.35 **SCC Flood Risk Officer** – No Objection

The proposed surface water drainage strategy is through the use of geocellular tanks (or similar) under car park areas and access roads to accommodate the 1 in 100 year rainfall event (+ 30% climate change allowance) which in accordance with the technical standards provides a marked reduction in peak discharge to greenfield runoff rates and no increase in volume of runoff due to the equivalent existing and proposed impermeable surfaces on the site. The proposed drainage strategy is based on the assumption that infiltration is not feasible but it is stated that infiltration tests have yet to be undertaken to confirm this assumption. If infiltration is found to be suitable the following information will need to be provided in order to satisfy that an appropriate infiltration assessment has been undertaken as part of the further site investigation work. Planning conditions are recommended.

- 5.36 **City of Southampton Society** - Welcome in principle.

The road should be kept open during construction as it is an important access road to the railway station and the M27. The very narrow and sharply turning bridge near the railway station is very unsatisfactory for commercial vehicles. The access on to the Mansbridge Road near the cemetery is less than satisfactory. The Swaythling arch is heavily used and only alternatively one way. Access to and egress from the site will need greatly improved roads in the vicinity, especially so far as the M27 is concerned.

- 5.37 **Hampshire Chamber of Commerce** – Support

The Chamber was relieved to learn that employment use was to continue for this industrial land in a prime strategic location near the motorway network with good accessibility by bus, rail and cycle. They agreed that new units for a range of industrial, distribution and business use align with the Solent LEP's priorities for this area and, at the same time, the planned improvements to the landscaping and noise mitigation for the site will be good news for nearby residents.

- 5.38 The Chamber would like to see contributions from the development towards much needed improvements for Wide Lane bridge over the railway to create better traffic flows connecting to the M27 and M3. Such improvements should also look to

provide the missing link in the cycle network between Mansbridge and the Airport and provide safety for pedestrians. The new tenants should also be encouraged to operate travel plans for their staff that are monitored, in order to relieve any peak hour traffic congestion which may arise with hours of work no longer mainly on a shift basis

5.39 Southampton Airport – No objection

The scheme could conflict with aerodrome safeguarding criteria unless conditions relating to the submission of a Bird Hazard Management Plan, an agreement before the erection of cranes (operational and construction), the submission of a Construction Management Plan, details of obstacle lights (operational and construction) being agreed, and the submission of a lighting plan are not imposed with these details secured.

5.40 Hampshire Constabulary – No objection following receipt of amended plans

The applicant has engaged with the Police regarding potential crime and disorder issues and as a result has been provided with a detailed report giving a number of recommendations concerning layout, physical security of buildings, lighting and CCTV, which, if implemented, would increase security and safety measures of the buildings and for staff and visitors. In general terms, therefore, the Police would have no objection to this scheme, however, there are areas of concern which in our considered opinion are not being addressed appropriately, and are of sufficient concern to warrant an objection.

5.41 These concerns relate primarily to the proposed pedestrian/cycle route through the development and linking into Stoneham Cemetery Road. It is recognised that alternative transport routes can be useful within a travel plan but they should only be provided in appropriate locations and not at the risk of creating a crime generator or where the safety of its users is compromised. It is recognised within crime prevention advice and in government guidance such as 'Safer Streets' that these routes should be accommodated on streets and not be isolated or segregated from other vehicular traffic, as being seen by other drivers, residents and other road users affords a greater sense of security. The Stoneham Cemetery Road for all but the first 180 metres is unadopted and on viewing it, it is clear it is highly unsuitable for use by pedestrians and cyclists. It is a single tracked shared surface (with vehicles visiting the cemetery) with numerous potholes and patched repairs, it is completely unlit, poorly overlooked and the tree canopies in several places create a very enclosed space. I disagree therefore with the claim made in the Design & Access Statement Para 3.46 which states they have "clearly demonstrated the site is highly sustainable in terms of its accessibility by walking and cycling".

5.42 A further concern is that by creating this short cut to the development, Stoneham Cemetery Road will become a car park for staff, thus increasing the risk of vehicle crime and the safety of cyclists and pedestrians. This route is more likely to create an unsafe route, particularly during darkness and all concerned should be mindful of sanctioning a route which encourages users but which is a potential crime generator and safety hazard. The concerns over this route are compounded by the absence of highway lighting along the access road within the development, this again increases the risk to pedestrians and cyclists both in terms of crime and safety, particularly as the road will be used by a large number of HGVs where it is known that driver visibility is often impaired.

5.43 Officer Response

Since these comments were made the scheme has been amended and Hampshire Constabulary have no further comments to make having removed their initial objection.

5.44 **Southern Water** – No objection subject to conditions

Our initial investigations indicate that Southern Water can provide foul sewage and surface water disposal to service the proposed development. There is adequate capacity for both.

5.45 **Network Rail** – No objection

5.55 **Highways Agency** – No objection

Highways England will be concerned with proposals that have the potential to impact on the safe and efficient operation of the Strategic Road Network. In this case our interest relates to the M27 Junction 5. Following confirmation from SCC of their acceptance that the existing consented use for the site is 100,000sq.m of B2 floorspace no objection raised.

5.56 **Hampshire County Council** – Off-site financial contribution sought

A copy of the County Council's full response is appended at **Appendix 2** of this report.

5.57 **Eastleigh Borough Council** – Further consideration needed with regards to:

- The visibility of the site from the motorway – the site is at a gateway location to Eastleigh and Southampton and the quality of design and landscaping should be of high quality.
- Access arrangements, including issues around the suitability of the current Wide Lane bridge, proposals for improvements being considered as part of the Eastleigh Strategic Transport Study and alternatives/contributions which may be required.
- The relationship of the site with regard to the aspirations of the wider airport gateway area, including financial contributions.
- The relationship of these proposals with the future redevelopment on the remaining area of the former Ford factory site.

6. **Planning Consideration Key Issues**

6.1 The key issues for consideration in the determination of this planning application are:

1. The principle of this form of development;
2. Economic development considerations;
3. Traffic and transport issues;
4. Impact on the amenities of neighbours, including noise and outlook;
5. Design; and,
6. Off-site Mitigation.

6.2 Principle of Development

6.2.1 National and local planning policy is supportive in principle of development proposals that bring economic development and employment opportunities; NPPF paragraphs 8, 14 and 19 are directly relevant. The NPPF confirms that economic growth can secure higher social and environmental standards, and that the

Government is committed to securing economic growth in order to create jobs and prosperity.

6.2.2 This development is in accordance with the site's designated uses under LPR Policy REI9(ii) (as set out in full above), which has been part of adopted planning policy since 2006. The principle of reconfiguring the site to provide up to date employment use, to replace the manufacturing buildings associated with Fords, is acceptable in principle.

6.3 Economic Development Considerations

6.3.1 Research carried out for PUSH, and other organisations, in recent years has recognised a shortage of Class B8 floorspace in South Hampshire, and a shortfall of suitable sites for large scale distribution facilities. This has been carried forward by Policy CS6 of the Core Strategy, which identifies the need for 97,000sq.m of industry/warehousing over the plan period.

6.3.2 The proximity of the site to the motorway network makes this an attractive location for a distribution facility. The applicants are seeking flexibility within any permission granted to find operations within either B1c/B2 and/or B8 uses, and the plans have been submitted to show different scenarios whereby a distribution centre would have more HGV space than parking and vice versa for a B1c/B2 end user. This is acceptable to officers, but may mean that all 4 buildings are taken for storage and distribution (rather than mixed with some manufacturing) and this may then also be repeated should the land to the north become available for a similar redevelopment. This flexibility should, however, improve the occupation rates for the development.

6.3.3 In terms of the need for local employment opportunities, the 2011 Census for the Swaythling Ward suggests 18.8% of residents have no qualifications (compared to 21% for the City as a whole), with 39% of households having no adults in employment (compared with 32.8% for the City). It confirms that 52.4% of residents in the ward are economically active (compared with 68.4% for Southampton) with 3.6% registered as unemployed. The economic development benefits associated with this development are potentially, therefore, considerable and a large number of new jobs would be created with positions likely to include warehouse operatives, office administrators, transport and logistic positions. The recommendation includes the need to secure targeted local training and employment initiatives, at both the construction and operational phases (in accordance with LDF Policy CS24). Given the loss of employment associated with the closure of Fords these economic benefits are clearly a significant material consideration in the determination of this planning application.

6.3.4 The applicants have been asked to demonstrate the likely job creation of the proposed mix and uses to enable the Local Planning Authority to assess the impacts on job creation of allowing the site for a wholly B8 use (as sought to attract an end user).

6.3.5 A standard guide as to the potential employment impacts of a site is provided by the Homes and Communities Agency (HCA). The latest version (2015) sets out the following employment density for the uses relevant to the site:

- Business Use B1c - 1 job per 47 sq.m (net internal area)
- Industrial Use B2 - 1 job per 36 sq.m (gross internal area)
- Storage/Distribution Use B8 - job per 77 sq.m for a Regional Distribution Centre

6.3.6 The application is supported by a detailed report on this issue, and the applicants are keen to point out that these metrics are, by the HCA's admission, a guide and in practice a range of factors influence how space is used and delivered. The applicants evidence, based on their other projects, suggests that the jobs created by B8 uses are greater than assumed by the HCA and point to the following examples of similar schemes:

- Amazon, Bardon (Coalville), Leicestershire – this is a site being developed by Mountpark and will be occupied as of autumn 2016. The building of approximately 95,000 sq.m for Amazon is expected to employ 2000 people when fully operational – equivalent to one job per 48 sq.m.
- Cooperative Group, Regional Distribution Centre, Andover – the building has a total area of 44,129 sq.m and employs approximately 870 people, equivalent to a density of one job per 50 sq.m.
- National Packaging, Middlemarch Business Park, Coventry – occupy a unit of 20,438 sq.m and employ around 350 staff, resulting in a density of one job per 58 sq.m.
- DPD Group's distribution building at Raunds, Northamptonshire – the building of 43,000 sq.ft (3995 sq.m) employs 200 people, equivalent to one job per 20 sq.m
- Amazon, Manchester Airport – a Mountpark building being constructed with a series of mezzanines to significantly increase floorspace is delivering 1500 jobs in a building with a footprint of only 25,650 sq.m equivalent to around one job per 43 sq.m.

6.3.7 As part of a recent report the British Property Federation (BPF) has also assessed the trends with regards to skills and training as part of a wider assessment of the economic role and contribution of the logistics and distribution sector. The BPF report seeks, in part, to dispel a number of 'myths' about the sector and common misconceptions about its value and characteristics and confirms that:

- Across the sector around 15% of employees work part-time, compared to 32% nationally;
- Average salaries in the logistics sector (£28,000) are well above the national average (£20,000);
- The average salary has grown at a higher rate (7%) over the last five years than the national average (3%);
- Employment in warehousing/distribution operations has proved more resilient than many other sectors during the recession, with employment gains equivalent to 40% between 2009-2013 when other sectors were reducing employment.

6.3.8 Typical distribution operations require a mix of 'blue' and 'white' collar roles, and an increasing mix of skills and qualifications. While generic in nature, it is possible to identify the types of job roles typically provided in distribution or logistics developments and the following roles are common examples within both categories:

'Blue collar':

- Warehouse operative (which involve some ICT based skills and training)
- Production operative
- Supervisors or Team Leaders
- Pickers/Packers
- Maintenance engineers (for vehicles or plant)

'White collar':

- Administrator
- Warehouse Manager
- Logistics Manager
- Shift Manager
- IT Support/Maintenance

6.3.9 Using the HCA Density Guidance (as set out above) if the site were to be developed wholly for B8 floorspace this would equate to 484 jobs (1 job per 73sq.m). Applying the job creation evidenced from a working example in Andover (as set out above) the development could achieve 707 jobs (1 job per 50sq.m). This compares to the potential job creation of 982 jobs if the site were approved for manufacturing only (B2). In reality it is difficult to accurately determine the number of jobs which might result given that this is a speculative development, although the above analysis would suggest that the scheme could generate between 484 and 707 jobs assuming that the Panel agree that any permission should be issued with the requested flexibility. The comments of the Council's Planning Policy Officer, as set out above, are important in reaching a conclusion on this point. The employment benefits to the local area, in terms of the range, quality and number of jobs is an important consideration for this scheme and even the more conservative estimates represent a significant boost to the local economy.

6.4 Traffic and Transport

6.4.1 The planning application has been assessed in terms of its proposed access, its impact upon existing highway safety, its overall trip generation when assessed against previous uses, and the proposed levels of parking needed to satisfy a 24 hour/day operation. As the site is designated for industrial and warehousing development and was operational until 2013 it is not a case of comparing the proposal against the existing vacant condition of the site. The net impacts are assessed.

6.4.2 The intention of this development is that all large vehicles will enter and exit the site from the north, thereby limiting the impact on the residential area to the south. The design of the junction at the entrance to the site is such that heavy goods vehicles would find it difficult to turn out of the site in a southerly direction. Various measures for regulating the traffic operation of this site can be secured through the s.106 agreement and by conditions. In practice, given that the M27 is so close it is more than likely that operators will chose this route in any event.

6.4.3 Government guidance within the NPPF states that decisions should take into account whether safe and suitable access to the site can be achieved for all people and that improvements can be undertaken within the transport network that cost effectively limit the significant impacts of the development. The NPPF concludes that development should only be prevented or refused on transport grounds where the residual cumulative impacts of the development are severe. The Highways Officer does not believe this to be the case for this project and have not raised an objection to either the principle, detailed layouts or likely impacts upon the highway network. They do, however, have reservations regarding the possible increase in HGV movements as set out in the table below but consider that the scheme could, in principle, be mitigated against through the s.106. A further update will be given at the Panel meeting as the information provided in the table below was only

received as the report was being finalised.

6.4.4 The comments of Hampshire County Council are appended to this report at **Appendix 2**, are relevant to this point, and confirm that the development will, in their opinion, be acceptable in highway impact terms once agreement has been reached for a £400,000 off-site contribution towards a wider scheme of bridge improvement works around the M27 and its associated junction. At the time of writing this contribution requires further negotiation once the likely impacts of the development are agreed, but its inclusion within these discussions is acceptable in principle to the applicant and is likely to be a requirement of the Council's Highways Officer also given the net growth in HGV movements proposed (as detailed below). The applicants have provided the following details regarding trips and, again, further work is required before these details can be agreed by officers. It is unlikely, for the reasons given above by the Highways Officer, that the trips generated by the proposal cannot be accommodated by the existing highway network which, until 2013, accommodated the demands of 100,000sq.m of factory floorspace serving Fords. The current assumptions are as follows:

	Total Vehicle Trips Assuming 24hr operation			Total HGV Movements Assuming 24hr operation			Total Light Vehicle Trips Assuming 24hr Operation		
	AM Peak	PM Peak	Daily	AM Peak	PM Peak	Daily	AM Peak	PM Peak	Daily
Assumed Ford Operational – 100,000sq.m	445	220	3391	19	12	379	426	208	3012
Applying 45% for current site area	200	99	1526	9	8	171	191	91	1355
Potential Mountpark site as 35,371sq.m 100% B8 Use (Assuming 24hr Use)	59	57	1567	15	14	929	44	43	638
Mountpark Proposed 35,371sq.m B8/B1c/B2 Use assuming 50%/5%/45% (Assuming 24hr Use for B8)	112	102	1744	8	7	465	104	95	1279

6.4.5 These details suggests a marginal increase in overall trips when compared with Fords (ie. 1526 to 1567 for a B8 scheme), alongside a significant increase of some 440% (when B8 only is delivered) in HGV movements (ie. 171 to 929 daily trips). Officers recommend that this increase can be accommodated on the existing network but only if improvements are made to the bridges serving junction 5 of the M27 alongside other measures that are currently under review by the Council's Highways Officer. Negotiations are needed before the required contribution towards these works is known further, although Hampshire County Council have suggested a £400,000 contribution would overcome their concerns. An update on progress on this point will be given at the Panel meeting, and delegation is sought by officers to resolve these negotiations through the s.106 legal agreement process as is the usually the case for any scheme of s.106 mitigation.

6.4.6 In terms of car parking numbers, the development is in accordance with the Council's maximum car parking standards (as set out above). Each of the four buildings would have their own independent servicing and car parking areas accessed from a new spine road. A total of 372 car parking spaces would be

provided for the four units (assuming a B8 operator) with scope for increasing the car parking to 567 should the levels of B8 reduce to 50%. This is less than 1:1 in terms of the possible employees outlined above (albeit with shift work in operation this becomes less of an issue), but the site is well served by public transport, being on both bus and rail routes, and the recommendation includes a requirement for improved cycle access and real time bus information as part of a wider set of green travel initiatives. Some 150 cycle parking spaces with showering facilities are proposed, for instance. As a potentially 24 hour operation, the businesses are likely to operate a shift system with the workforce spread over the day. The buildings have large yard spaces and it seems unlikely that employees would wish to park off site. The s.106 agreement could include an obligation requiring the developer to carry out survey work of the car parking situation in adjoining residential streets once the development is operational and to investigate parking controls should this prove necessary, although this requirement has not been sought by the relevant consultees and does not currently form part of this recommendation.

The acceptability of this scheme in transport terms has been assessed against the former factory use of some 100,000sq.m, and the net impacts are deemed to be acceptable within this context. This application gives the opportunity to improve pedestrian, cycle and bus access to the site and contributions are also in place should the proposed junction serving the new access need to be signalised. The impact of HGVs on the network is under review following a let submission by the applicants and a verbal update can be given at the Panel meeting.

6.5 Impact on the amenities of neighbours

- 6.5.1 This site is synonymous with employment uses, and particularly manufacturing, and is protected by existing local planning policy for such uses. As such, buildings with scale are a given, and it is inevitable that a large commercial development of this nature will impact upon the established character and appearance of the area. In this instance, however, the Panel need to consider the site's previous use and built form which, although now cleared, is a relevant material consideration. The previous factory was formed by a mix of domestic scaled buildings and manufacturing sheds, with the tallest measuring 30m in height; this building was located more centrally than proposed units 1 and 4.
- 6.5.2 There are no affected residential neighbours to the east, north or west. The back gardens of those dwellings fronting Walnut Grove are located adjacent the site's southern boundary. Many of these dwellings had an outlook across the former Ford factory before its demolition, albeit the buildings immediately neighbouring these affected properties were generally of domestic scale and of brick construction. As such, there will be some areas where significant change will be experienced from both habitable rooms and rear gardens.
- 6.5.3 The proposed buildings along the site's southern boundary are nearly 16m in height to the ridge (12 metres to eaves – a typical dwelling is about 6m to eaves and 8 to ridge for comparison). The buildings will extend 160m (Unit 1) and 110m (unit 4) along this boundary and will significantly affect the outlook from these residential neighbours. To a certain extent the previous factory would have had a similar impact and these affected residents are used to living adjacent an employment site with the associated impacts upon their residential amenity. A separation distance of 30 metres is typically secured and this narrows in places to 23m. To mitigate the increase in height and proximity of the development the applicants have proposed

a significant landscape screen along the entire southern boundary of the site. All planting will sit upon a 1.2m high bund and will be semi-established at the point of planting. An irrigation scheme is proposed to ensure that the planting matures further and conditions are proposed to ensure ongoing maintenance. Officers recommend that, on balance, the scheme can be supported given the previous factory buildings that once occupied the site, and the proposed landscaped buffer that will mitigate the harm caused by placing such large buildings this close to a residential streetscene.

- 6.5.4 The chosen layout reduces residential outlook but improves the noise environment. The local area is already characterised by relatively high levels of background noise as a consequence of the M27, railway and airport beyond. There is likely to be a noise impact resulting from HGV movements on site, including manoeuvring and reversing into loading bays. In response to this context the proposed buildings turn their backs on the residential neighbours to the south, and will then act as a barrier to noise from their associated operations (which, as with the Ford factory, could be on a 24 hour basis), the M27 and the airport. Although the applicant is seeking unrestricted hours of operation it is not inevitable that all operators will be as busy at night as during the day. The Council's Environmental Health Officer (EHO) has assessed the applicant's noise report and air quality assessments and is satisfied that a 24 hour employment use is appropriate for this site despite the proximity of existing residential neighbours. It is likely that had the buildings been orientated differently (by removing development along the width of the boundary) that the EHO would have raised an objection and/or sought reduced operational hours that could have rendered the scheme less commercially viable.
- 6.5.5 There will be no loss of privacy or overshadowing of the affected neighbours given the design and location of the buildings, and existing levels of daylight and sunlight will remain. The only potential issue (in addition to the outlook issues discussed above) relate to glare from metal cladding sitting with a southerly aspect to the north of existing dwellings. The applicants have been asked to choose a cladding with reduced reflectivity, and possibly a matt finish, and it is hoped that samples of the cladding will be available to the Panel at the meeting. A planning condition will be added to agree the cladding on site prior to its formal use. The development is considered to address LPR Policy SDP1(i) that seeks to protect the existing amenity of residents living in the city.

6.6 Design

- 6.6.1 These buildings will be substantial modern warehouse buildings that are comparable with other similar examples recently approved across the City (as set out above). The layout has been designed so that each building fronts a new spine road. These large warehouse structures with delivery bay openings will inevitably be somewhat monotonous, as it is difficult to include features of interest which would be visible from outside the site. That said, the applicants have taken our design advice offered at the pre-application stage and introduced a wing of ancillary office accommodation to provide visual interest and activity to Wide Lane. The existing mesh fence is to be removed and the existing planting supplemented. The new access road will include a 4m high green/living wall to screen the service yards behind; thereby reducing the impact caused by this amount of building and hard standing. The service yards themselves will provide below ground flood attenuation for some 6,000 cubic metres of water to deal with the 1:100 year flood event, and the scheme will, overall, achieve a BREEAM rating of 'Excellent' in respect of its

sustainability credentials. The proposal will result in a modern employment park that sits within an established landscape setting that is entirely appropriate for this location.

- 6.6.2 The choice of external materials is acceptable and further details can be sought through a condition. The applicants are also in discussion with local artists in order to capture the importance of the site to Southampton's heritage through the introduction of a public art piece.
- 6.6.3 The acoustic fence and bund between Units 1 and 4 would be a substantial structure, up to 4 metres in height, but this is considered to be necessary to mitigate noise impact. There will be a landscaped screen along the southern boundary, as discussed and amended to reflect the concerns of the Council's Design Officer, but this will take some years to establish fully despite being planted as extra heavy standard and semi-mature (ie. 6-6.5 metres tall). Although the buildings and screening would be dominant structures in the landscape this purpose built layout makes improvements in appearance to the previous factory that had previously evolved across the site, and the application is acceptable overall in design terms.

6.7 Off-site Mitigation

- 6.7.1 LDF Policy CS25 seeks to ensure that all new development mitigates against its direct impacts and this scheme is no different. The proposed uses do not attract the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL), but the negotiations with the applicants, and relevant consultees, has resulted in the need for a s.106 legal agreement to be completed before planning permission could be granted. Providing the application addresses the areas of mitigation, set out above, then the scheme will have complied with the requirements of Policy CS25.

7. Summary

- 7.1 These are substantial new buildings, on a previously developed site, which will change the character and appearance of this part of the city, but not significantly given the former use of the site for manufacturing. The land is identified in the Council's Local Plan for employment development of the type proposed in this application. The economic development and employment opportunities weigh in support of the proposal. It is inevitable that there will be an impact on local residents in terms of noise, outlook and additional traffic, but the applicants have mitigated as far as practicable against these unneighbourly effects and on balance, and subject to safeguards in the Section 106 agreement and conditions, it is considered that the issues of transport, neighbour impact and environmental issues have been satisfactorily addressed with these proposals.

8. Conclusion

- 8.1 It is recommended that planning permission be granted subject to a Section 106 agreement and conditions.

Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985 **Documents used in the preparation of this report Background Papers**

1a-d, 2b,d,f, 4b,f,vv, 6a-b & 7a

SH2 for 04.10.2016 PROW Panel

PLANNING CONDITIONS to include:

1.Full Permission Timing Condition (Performance)

The development hereby permitted shall begin no later than three years from the date on which this planning permission was granted.

Reason:

To comply with Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

2.Restricted Use & Operational Hours (Performance)

The maximum floorspace of the development hereby approved shall be 35,371 square metres (gross external), and the buildings shall not be sub-divided into separate units without the first written approval of the Local Planning Authority. Furthermore, this permission does not allow for the installation of additional mezzanine floorspace (other than those shown) within the buildings to serve the development.

Notwithstanding the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 (as amended) or any Order revoking, amending, or re-enacting that Order, the development hereby approved shall be used only for the purposes indicated in the submitted details (namely B1c, B2 and/or B8) and not for any other purpose.

Any office space provided to serve the development shall be ancillary to uses specified and shall not be let, leased or sold separately.

The B2 use hereby approved shall be limited to 45% of the total operational floorspace as assessed through the planning application submission.

Whilst any B8 use may operate on a 24 hour/day basis any non B8 use (excluding the ancillary office space) shall be restricted to the following hours, as submitted and hereby approved, unless otherwise agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority:

7am - 7pm (7 days/week) as set out in the planning application form

Reason:

In the interest of the amenities of neighbouring occupiers, to ensure that the site is retained for employment generating uses, to ensure that the office space provided is integral to the principal uses due to the out of centre location and in the interests of highway impacts that have been determined.

3.Construction Phasing (Pre-Commencement Condition)

No development shall commence (with the exception of site clearance, demolition, enabling and preparation works) until a programme of construction work has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The programme shall define the phasing of the development to include when the buildings, the bund and landscaped buffer, the living walls, and acoustic fences along the southern boundary with the residential neighbours will be implemented. The development shall subsequently be carried out in accordance with the approved construction phase programme.

No occupation of Units 2 or 3 shall take place until Units and 1 and 4, and their associated acoustic fence between them, have been constructed and are largely complete as shown on the approved plans, or such alternative acoustic measures have been installed as may be approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason:

To allow the Local Planning Authority to control the construction programme in the interests of the amenities of the area and to ensure that Units 1 and 4 are in place to reduce the noise impact associated with Units 2 and 3.

Note to Applicant:

In accordance with paragraph 3.11 of the submitted Planning Statement it is anticipated that as part of the sign off that there will be a commitment to implement the landscaped buffer along the southern boundary at an early stage of the build programme so as to mitigate against the construction phase itself and allow time for this landscaping to properly establish.

4.Noise Mitigation Measures

The development shall be implemented in accordance with the recommendations contained within the submitted Sharps Redmore Noise Impact Report. Prior to the occupation of each building detailed noise mitigation measures, to include a scheme of management measures to include details of reversing alarms of fork lift trucks and lorries, yard surface material and maintenance, equipment maintenance, acoustic barrier maintenance, site facilities including attenuation of external plant, vehicle management arrangements, staff management arrangements and a 'Night Time Management Plan' (detailing measures between 2300 and 0700 hours to mitigate noise; including car parking management in connection with shift change) shall have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. These measures shall confirm that all refrigeration vehicles serving the site shall use electrical hook up facilities rather than diesel engines and that all refrigeration equipment within the buildings shall utilise electric compressors and not diesel. The approved measures shall be implemented before first occupation of each building and retained thereafter.

Reason:

To limit noise and disturbance and to protect the amenities of neighbours, particularly given the 24 hour nature of the proposed operation.

5.Parking, Servicing & External Storage (Pre-Occupation)

The parking to serve the development hereby approved shall not exceed the following 'maximum' standards unless otherwise agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority:

- 1 space per 30sq.m of B1a office
- 1 space per 45sq.m of B1c light industrial and B2 general industrial
- 1 space per 90sq.m of B8 storage and distribution

The parking spaces and associated access shall be provided in accordance with the plans hereby approved before the each building first comes into occupation depending upon the occupying use, and shall thereafter be retained as approved for the parking by staff and visitors only. These areas shall not be used for external storage of any kind and any external storage within the service yards shall only be provided once locations and heights have been agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority

Reason:

To define the permission for flexible end uses and to prevent obstruction to traffic in neighbouring roads and in the interests of highway safety.

6.Electric Car Charging Points (Pre-Occupation Condition)

No building shall be occupied until a minimum of 2 electric car charging points have been provided to serve it (ie. 8 in total for the development). The approved measures shall be retained thereafter unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason:

In the interests of sustainability and air quality.

7.Cycle parking (Performance Condition)

Before the occupation of each building the cycle storage, changing, washing and shower facilities for members of staff shall be provided and made available for use in accordance with the plans hereby approved. The storage shall thereafter be retained as approved.

Reason:

To encourage cycling as an alternative form of transport.

8.Details of building materials to be used (Pre-Commencement Condition)

Notwithstanding the information shown on the approved drawings and application form (with the exception of site clearance, demolition, enabling and preparation works) no development works shall be carried out until a written schedule of external materials and finishes, including samples and sample panels where necessary, has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. These shall include full details of the manufacturer's composition, types and colours of the external materials to be used for external walls, windows, doors, rainwater goods, and the roof of the proposed buildings. It is the Local Planning Authority's practice to review all such materials on site. The developer should have regard to the context of the site in terms of surrounding building materials and should be able to demonstrate why such materials have been chosen and why alternatives were discounted. If necessary this should include presenting alternatives on site. Development shall be implemented only in accordance with the agreed details.

Reason:

To enable the Local Planning Authority to control the development in detail in the interests of amenity by endeavouring to achieve a building of visual quality.

Note to Applicant:

As part of its duty in signing off this condition the Local Planning Authority will request that a sample panel of the cladding system to be used along the site's southern boundary is constructed on site so that the reflectivity and glare of the materials can be properly assessed ahead of its use across the development.

9.Landscaping & Boundary Treatment

The development shall be implemented in accordance with the amended landscaping plans that were received by email on 15th August and listed at the end of this Decision Notice.

The position and height of acoustic barriers (comprising bunds and fencing) and other means of enclosure as specified along the site boundaries shall be erected in accordance with the approved plans (as updated by plan ref: 30822-PL-111C) before the first use of the development hereby approved with the existing wire mesh fence along Wide Lane being removed as shown prior to the site's 1st occupation. The boundary treatment shall thereafter be retained as approved.

Details of irrigation to the landscape bund shall be submitted to and agreed in writing prior to the commencement of development (with the exception of site clearance, demolition,

enabling and preparation works) with the approved irrigation implemented as agreed prior to the first occupation of the development.

Any trees, shrubs, seeded or turfed areas which die, fail to establish, are removed or become damaged or diseased, within a period of 5 years from the date of planting shall be replaced by the owner in the next planting season with others of a similar size and species unless the Local Planning Authority gives written consent to any variation. The owner shall be responsible for any replacements for a period of 5 years from the date of planting, and the living wall approved to screen the service yards shall be retained for the lifetime of the development.

The approved hard and soft landscaping scheme (including parking and a 4m high living wall as hereby approved) for the whole site shall be carried out prior to first use of the buildings or during the first planting season following the full completion of building works, whichever is sooner unless an alternative phasing arrangement is agreed. The approved implemented scheme shall be maintained for a minimum period of 5 years following its complete provision.

Reason:

To improve the appearance of the site and enhance the character of the development in the interests of visual amenity, to ensure that the development makes a positive contribution to the local environment and, in accordance with the duty required of the Local Planning Authority by Section 197 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990

10.Tree Retention and Safeguarding (Pre-Commencement Condition)

All trees to be retained pursuant to the submitted and approved plans shall be fully safeguarded during the course of all site works including preparation, demolition, excavation, construction and building operations. No operation in connection with the development hereby permitted shall commence on site until the tree protection as agreed by the Local Planning Authority has been erected. Details of the specification and position of all protective fencing shall be indicated on a site plan and agreed with the Local Planning Authority in writing before any site works commence. The fencing shall be maintained in the agreed position until the building works are completed, or until such other time that may be agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority following which it shall be removed from the site.

Reason:

To ensure that trees to be retained will be adequately protected from damage throughout the construction period.

11.No storage under tree canopy (Performance – Construction & Operational))

No storage of goods including building materials, machinery and soil, shall take place within the root protection areas of the trees to be retained on the site. There will be no change in soil levels or routing of services through root protection zones. There will be no fires on site within any distance that may affect retained trees. There will be no discharge of chemical substances including petrol, diesel and cement mixings within or near the root protection areas.

Reason:

To preserve the said trees in the interests of the visual amenities and character of the locality.

12. Ecological Enhancement Statement (Pre-Commencement)

Prior to development commencing (with the exception of site clearance, demolition, enabling and preparation works) the developer shall submit a programme of habitat and species enhancement measures, which unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority shall be implemented in accordance with the programme before the occupation of each building hereby approved takes place.

Reason:

To enhance habitat for protected species under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) in the interests of preserving and enhancing biodiversity as agreed at paragraph 5.12 of the submitted Ecology Statement.

13. Energy

Written documentary evidence demonstrating that the development will at minimum achieve a reduction in CO2 emissions over part L of the Building Regulations as set out in the email dated 5/8/16 shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority and verified in writing prior to the first occupation of each building hereby granted. Technologies that meet the agreed specifications must be installed and rendered fully operational prior to the first occupation of the development hereby granted consent and retained thereafter.

Reason:

To reduce the impact of the development on climate change and finite energy resources and to comply with adopted policy CS20 of the Local Development Framework Core Strategy Development Plan Document Adopted Version (January 2010).

14. BREEAM Standards (Pre-Occupation)

Written documentary evidence demonstrating that the development has achieved at minimum a rating of 'Excellent' against the BREEAM standard shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority and verified in writing within 6 months from first occupation of each building hereby granted, unless an otherwise agreed timeframe is agreed in writing by the LPA prior to first occupation taking place. The evidence shall take the form of a post construction certificate as issued by a qualified BREEAM certification body.

Reason:

To ensure the development minimises its overall demand for resources and to demonstrate compliance with policy CS20 of the Local Development Framework Core Strategy Development Plan Document Adopted Version (January 2010).

15. Public Sewer/Water Supply protection (Performance)

Prior to the commencement of development, details of the measures to protect the public sewer and water supply from damage during the demolition and construction shall be submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority in writing. The measures shall be implemented as approved for the duration of demolition and construction works.

Reason:

In order to safeguard the public sewer.

Note to Applicant:

You are advised to take note of Southern Water's full response (dated 15th June 2016) to the planning application which details the restrictions on development and requirements for further approvals.

16.Surface/Foul Water Drainage (Pre-commencement)

No development approved by this permission shall commence (with the exception of site clearance, demolition, enabling and preparation works) until a scheme for the disposal of foul water and surface water drainage have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall proceed in accordance with the agreed details and be retained as approved.

Reason:

To ensure satisfactory drainage provision for the area.

17.Sustainable Drainage Systems (Pre-Commencement)

Prior to the commencement of development (with the exception of site clearance, demolition, enabling and preparation works) a specification for the proposed sustainable drainage system (including green roofs where feasible) shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority. A sustainable drainage system to the approved specification must be installed and rendered fully operational prior to the first occupation of each building hereby granted consent and retained thereafter. In the development hereby granted consent, peak run-off rates and annual volumes of run-off shall be no greater than the previous conditions for the site.

Reason:

To conserve valuable water resources, in compliance with and to demonstrate compliance with policy CS20 of the Local Development Framework Core Strategy Development Plan Document Adopted Version (January 2010) and to prevent an increase in surface run-off and reduce flood risk.

18.Archaeological evaluation work programme [Performance Condition]

Subject to the results of the evaluation the developer shall secure the completion of a programme of archaeological work in accordance with a written scheme of investigation which has been submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason:

To ensure that the archaeological investigation is completed as although the Written Scheme of Investigation has been approved the results have yet to be submitted and/or archived.

19.Use of uncontaminated soils and fill (Performance)

Only clean, uncontaminated soil, subsoil, rock, aggregate, brick rubble, crushed concrete and ceramic shall only be permitted for infilling and landscaping on the site. Any such materials imported on to the site must be accompanied by documentation to validate their quality and be submitted to the Local Planning Authority for approval prior to the occupancy of the site.

Reason:

To ensure imported materials are suitable and do not introduce any land contamination risks onto the development.

20.Unsuspected Contamination (Performance)

If potential contamination is encountered that has not previously been identified, no further development shall be carried out unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Works shall not recommence until an assessment of the risks presented by the contamination has been undertaken and the details of the findings and any remedial actions has been submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall

proceed in accordance with the agreed details unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason:

To ensure any land contamination not previously identified is assessed and remediated so as not to present any significant risks to human health or, the wider environment.

21. Construction Management Plan (Pre-Commencement)

Before any development or demolition works are commenced details shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority making provision for a Construction Method Plan (CMP) for the development. The CMP shall include details of:

- (a) parking of vehicles of site personnel, operatives and visitors;
- (b) loading and unloading of plant and materials;
- (c) storage of plant and materials, including cement mixing and washings, used in constructing the development;
- (d) treatment of all relevant pedestrian routes and highways within and around the site throughout the course of construction and their reinstatement where necessary;
- (e) measures to be used for the suppression of dust and dirt throughout the course of construction;
- (f) details of construction vehicles wheel cleaning;
- (g) details of any cranes required to facilitate construction;
- (h) external lighting;
- (i) height of external storage areas;
- (j) Control and disposal of putrescible waste to prevent attraction of birds; and,
- (k) details of how noise emanating from the site during construction will be mitigated.

The approved Construction Management Plan shall be adhered to throughout the development process unless agreed otherwise in writing by the local planning authority.

Reason:

In the interest of health and safety, protecting the amenity of local land uses, neighbouring residents, the character of the area, Southampton Airport and highway safety.

Note to Applicant:

Southampton Airport have requested that the construction company shall give SIAL details of UHF and VHF communication frequencies to ensure no interference with operations at Southampton Airport and that the applicant maintains regular communication with SIAL Safeguarding (contact: Connor Gladwin) to discuss plans and progress throughout the construction phase.

22. Obstacle Lighting during The Construction Period - Airport

Obstacle lights shall be placed on cranes and other construction equipment above 32 metres above ground level to be used in the development of the Former Ford Site. The obstacle lighting scheme shall be implemented for the duration of the construction period. These obstacle lights must be steady state red lights with a minimum intensity of 200 candelas. Periods of illumination of obstacle lights, obstacle light locations and obstacle light photometric performance must all be in accordance with the requirements of guidance material 'CAP168 Licensing of Aerodromes.

Reason:

Permanently illuminated obstacle lighting is required for the duration of construction and on construction equipment to avoid endangering the safe movement of aircraft and the operation of Southampton Airport.

Note to Applicant:

For further information please refer to Advice Note 4 'Cranes and Other Construction Issues' (available at <http://www.aoa.org.uk/policy-campaigns/operations-safety/>).

23.Hours of work for Demolition / Clearance / Construction (Performance)

All works relating to the demolition, clearance and construction of the development hereby granted shall only take place between the hours of:

Monday to Friday 08:00 to 18:00 hours

Saturdays 09:00 to 13:00 hours

And at no time on Sundays and recognised public holidays.

Any works outside the permitted hours shall be confined to the internal preparations of the buildings without audible noise from outside the building, unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Once the external fabric of Units 1 and 4 are completed these hours may be relaxed in accordance with further details that shall have been submitted to and agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to any change in working hours.

Reason:

To protect the amenities of the occupiers of existing nearby residential properties.

24.Piling (Pre-Commencement)

A piling/foundation design and method statement shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to any piling taking place in the construction of this development. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the agreed details.

Reason:

In the interest of residential amenity.

25.External Lighting Scheme (Pre-Commencement)

Prior to the development hereby approved first coming into occupation, external lighting shall be implemented in accordance with a scheme to be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The lighting scheme shall be thereafter retained as approved.

Reason:

In the interest of residential amenity, to minimise the impact on protected species and to avoid endangering the safe operation of aircraft through confusion with aeronautical ground lights or glare.

Note to Applicant:

The development is close to the aerodrome and/or aircraft taking off from or landing at the aerodrome. Lighting schemes required during construction and for the completed development shall be of a flat glass, full cut off design, mounted horizontally, and shall ensure that there is no light spill above the horizontal. For further information please refer to Advice Note 2 'Lighting Near Aerodromes'

Your attention is drawn to the Air Navigation Order 2009, Article 221, which states that, "A person must not exhibit in the United Kingdom any light which: (a) by reason of its glare is liable to endanger aircraft taking off or landing at an aerodrome; or (b) by reason of its liability to be mistaken for an aeronautical ground light is liable to endanger aircraft." The Order

also grants the Civil Aviation Authority power to serve notice to extinguish or screen any such light which may endanger aircraft.

26. Permanent Obstacle Lighting Scheme - Airport

Obstacle lights shall be placed on the buildings prior to their first use and shall be retained thereafter. These obstacle lights must be steady state red lights with a minimum intensity of 200 candelas. Periods of illumination of obstacle lights, obstacle light locations and obstacle light photometric performance must all be in accordance with the requirements of guidance material 'CAP168 Licensing of Aerodromes'.

Reason:

It is recommended that permanent illuminated obstacle lights are installed on the highest point of development to avoid endangering the safe movement of aircraft and the operation of Southampton Airport.

27. Refuse & Recycling (Pre-Commencement)

Prior to the occupation of each building further details of storage for refuse and recycling, together with the access to it, shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The storage shall be provided in accordance with the agreed details before each building is occupied and shall thereafter be retained as approved.

Reason:

In the interests of visual amenity, the amenities of future occupiers of the development and the occupiers of nearby properties and in the interests of highway safety.

28. No other windows or doors other than approved in specific location

Unless the Local Planning Authority agree otherwise in writing and notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 2015 (or any order amending, revoking or re-enacting that Order) in relation to the development hereby permitted, no alternative or additional windows (including roof windows or dormer windows), doors or openings other than those expressly authorised by this permission shall be constructed in the southern elevations of Units 1 and/or 4.

Reason:

To protect the amenities of the adjoining properties.

29. Advertisement Restriction (Performance Condition)

Notwithstanding the requirements of the Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) (England) Regulations 2007, or any subsequent amending regulations, no site marketing advertising shall be displayed on the southern elevation of Units 1 and/or 4 so as to be visible from the residential properties in Walnut Grove.

Reason:

In the interests of the amenities of neighbouring residential properties.

30. Redundant Access Points & Stoneham Cemetery Road

The existing 'redundant' access points serving the site that are no longer required to serve the proposed development shall be closed off, re-kerbed at the highway and made good prior to the first occupation of the development.

No access (pedestrian or otherwise) shall be created from the site into Stoneham Cemetery Road with the prior approval of the Local Planning Authority.

Reason:

To ensure that the amendments made to pedestrian access during the lifetime of the development are retained in the interests of residential amenity and highway safety.

31. Removal of PD Rights for Cranes & Construction Equipment - Airport

Notwithstanding the permitted development offered by Schedule 2 Part 4 Class A (Temporary Buildings) of the Town & Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 there shall no cranes erected to serve the construction phase of the development hereby approved without the prior written approval of the Local Planning Authority in consultation with Southampton Airport. Development shall be implemented as approved.

Reason:

To ensure that construction operations and equipment on the application site or on any adjoining land do not breach the Obstacle Limitation Surface (OLS) surrounding Southampton Airport and endanger the movement of aircraft and the safe operation of the aerodrome.

32. Submission of a Bird Hazard Management Plan - Airport

Development shall not commence (with the exception of site clearance, demolition, enabling and preparation works) until a Bird Hazard Management Plan (BHMP) has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority (LPA). The BHMP shall be in accordance with AOA Advice Note 8 and shall include details of:

- monitoring of any standing water within the site temporary or permanent
- management of any flat/shallow pitched/green roofs on buildings within the site which may be attractive to nesting, roosting and “loafing” birds. The management plan shall comply with Advice Note 8 ‘Potential Bird Hazards from Building Design’ attached * See next page for information *
- reinstatement of grass areas
- maintenance of planted and landscaped areas, particularly in terms of height and species of plants that are allowed to grow
- which waste materials can be brought on to the site/what if any exceptions e.g. green waste
- monitoring of waste imports (although this may be covered by the site licence)
- physical arrangements for the collection (including litter bins) and storage of putrescible waste, arrangements for and frequency of the removal of putrescible waste
- signs deterring people from feeding the birds.

The BHMP shall be implemented as approved on completion of the development and shall remain in force for the life of the building. No subsequent alterations to the plan are to take place unless first submitted to and approved in writing by the LPA.

Reason:

It is necessary to manage the former Ford Site in order to minimise its attractiveness to birds which could endanger the safe movement of aircraft and the operation of Southampton Airport.

Note to Applicant:

The Bird Hazard Management Plan must ensure that flat/shallow pitched roofs be constructed to allow access to all areas by foot using permanent fixed access stairs ladders or similar. The owner/occupier must not allow gulls, to nest, roost or loaf on the building. Checks must be made weekly or sooner if bird activity dictates, during the breeding season. Outside of the breeding season gull activity must be monitored and the roof checked

regularly to ensure that gulls do not utilise the roof. Any gulls found nesting, roosting or loafing must be dispersed by the owner/occupier when detected or when requested by SIAL Airside Operations staff. In some instances it may be necessary to contact SIAL Airside Operations staff before bird dispersal takes place. The owner/occupier must remove any nests or eggs found on the roof.

The breeding season for gulls typically runs from March to June. The owner/occupier must obtain the appropriate licences where applicable from Natural England before the removal of nests and eggs.

33.Security

A 'Security Management Plan' for each building shall be submitted to and agreed prior to its first occupation. The agreed scheme shall be implemented as agreed.

Reason:

In the interests of security for the site as recommended by Hampshire Constabulary

34.Approved Plans

The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the approved plans listed in the schedule attached below, unless otherwise agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority.

Reason:

For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.

Note to Applicant – Southern Water:

Water Main - The exact position of the public water distribution main on the site must be determined before the layout of the development is finalised. All existing infrastructure, including protective coatings and cathodic protection, should be protected during the course of construction works.

The applicant/developer should enter into a formal agreement with Southern Water to provide the necessary sewerage infrastructure required to service this development.

A formal application for connection to the water supply is required in order to service this development.

Due to changes in legislation that came in to force on 1st October 2011 regarding the future ownership of sewers it is possible that a sewer now deemed to be public could be crossing the site. Therefore, should any sewer be found during construction works, an investigation of the sewer will be required to ascertain its condition, the number of properties served, and potential means of access before any further works commence on site.

The applicant is advised to discuss the matter further with Southern Water, Sparrowgrove House, Sparrowgrove, Otterbourne, Hampshire SO21 2SW (Tel: 0330 303 0119) or www.southernwater.co.uk

POLICY CONTEXT

Core Strategy - (as amended 2015)

CS6	Economic Growth
CS7	Safeguarding Employment Sites
CS13	Fundamentals of Design
CS18	Transport: Reduce-Manage-Invest
CS19	Car & Cycle Parking
CS20	Tackling and Adapting to Climate Change
CS22	Promoting Biodiversity and Protecting Habitats
CS23	Flood Risk
CS24	Access to Jobs
CS25	The Delivery of Infrastructure and Developer Contributions

City of Southampton Local Plan Review – (as amended 2015)

SDP1	Quality of Development
SDP4	Development Access
SDP5	Parking
SDP7	Urban Design Context
SDP9	Scale, Massing & Appearance
SDP10	Safety & Security
SDP11	Accessibility & Movement
SDP12	Landscape & Biodiversity
SDP13	Resource Conservation
SDP14	Renewable Energy
SDP15	Air Quality
SDP16	Noise
SDP17	Lighting
SDP19	Aerodrome and Technical Site Safeguarding and Airport Public Safety Zone
SDP22	Contaminated Land
NE4	Protected Species
HE6	Archaeological Remains
REI9	Major Employment Sites
TI2	Vehicular Access

Supplementary Planning Guidance

Residential Design Guide (Approved - September 2006)
Planning Obligations (Adopted - September 2013)
Parking Standards SPD (September 2011)

Other Relevant Guidance

The National Planning Policy Framework (2012)
The Southampton Community Infrastructure Levy Charging Schedule (September 2013)

FORMAL RESPONSE FROM HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL (HIGHWAYS)

Economy, Transport and Environment Department

*Elizabeth II Court West, The Castle
Winchester, Hampshire SO23 8UD*

Tel: 0300 555 1375 (General Enquiries)
0300 555 1388 (Roads and Transport)
0300 555 1389 (Recycling Waste & Planning)
Textphone 0300 555 1390
Fax 01962 847055
www.hants.gov.uk

Southampton City Council,
Civic Centre,
Southampton,
SO14 7LY

<i>Enquiries to</i>	Gemma McCart	<i>My reference</i>	6/3/9/265(app1185)
<i>Direct Line</i>	01962 846824	<i>Your reference</i>	16/00885/FUL
<i>Date</i>	15 th September 2016	<i>Email</i>	Gemma.mccart@hants.gov.uk

For the attention of Stephen Harrison

Dear Sirs

Development to provide new industrial and warehouse buildings for business use (class B1c), industry (class B2) and storage/distribution (class B8) with landscaping, tree planting and new boundary treatment, new car parking and service areas, new vehicular access from Wide Lane and associated works-description amended following receipt of amended plans showing the removal of a proposed footpath through the site to Stoneham Cemetery Road and an increase to the acoustic fence between unit 1 and 4 along the southern boundary from 2.1 to 4 metres.

Thank you for providing Hampshire County Council the opportunity to comment on the above planning application.

Site Location

The site is located on Wide Lane, on the southern element of the former Ford manufacturing site in Swaythling, Southampton. The site is within 1km of M27 Junction 5, within 650m to Swaythling rail station and within 850m of Southampton Airport Parkway rail station.

Local Policy Context

The proposed development site is considered a Key Employment Area under Southampton City Council's Core Strategy (2010) in particular policy CS7 which seeks to safeguard all existing employment sites and allocations. The Transport Assessment discusses the Eastleigh Borough Council Interim Strategic Transport Study, which considers potential improvements to the Wide Lane overbridge and acknowledges that this would be of significant improvement to the local highway network.

Access by sustainable modes

The proposed development is accessible by bus with existing bus stops within 100m. Existing services provide links to Southampton City Centre, Southampton Airport and low frequency provision to Eastleigh, Winchester and Hedge End.

Swaythling rail station is also within 650m and is accessible utilising existing footway provision. Within the jurisdiction of Hampshire County Council a route is also in place to Southampton Airport Parkway although the width of this route along Wide Lane requires improvement and this proposal is included on the access drawing 15-263/001 D. Wide Lane forms part of National Cycle Route 23, Reading to Southampton. The route crosses the railway line on the eastern side of the Wide Lane bridge between the two roundabouts, although at 1.5m the path width is sub-standard. Overtaking cyclists on the bridge is currently hazardous due to limited width and the very limited northbound visibility of southbound vehicles approaching.

It is acknowledged that the application is supported by a Travel Plan which will be assessed and monitored by Southampton City Council.

Vehicular Access

The proposed access arrangement is made up of a right turn lane facility on Wide Lane. Subsequent to the Transport Assessment, modelling has been undertaken to demonstrate the proposed arrangement is suitable in capacity terms. The access point itself is located within Southampton City Council and therefore the principle of this arrangement is to be considered the Engineers at your Authority. It is however noted that a small element of the associated works, including widening of the existing footway on Wide Lane are across the Hampshire County Council boundary. Therefore the County Council will need to be a party to the necessary Section 278 Agreement.

Highway Safety

Accident analysis has been provided within the Transport Assessment which identifies that a total of 18 accidents have been recorded between 2010 and October 2015. No accidents have been recorded within the immediate vicinity of the site access.

Traffic Impact

Trip Generation

Interrogation of the TRICS database has been undertaken in order to establish the forecast trip generation of the development proposal. The resultant outputs set out the following;

B8			
Time Period	Arrival	Departures	Two-Way
AM 08.00-09.00	17	12	29
PM 16.00-17.00	10	18	28
Daily	394	389	783
B2			
AM 08.00-09.00	58	12	70
PM 16.00-17.00	12	52	65
Daily	403	415	818
B1c			
AM 08.00-09.00	10	3	13
PM 16.00-17.00	2	7	9
Daily	71	72	142
Total			
AM 08.00-09.00	85	27	112
PM 16.00-17.00	25	77	102
Daily	868	876	1744

The above data has been analysed to provide the likely proportion of HGV trips. This suggests that 8 AM peak trips and 7 PM peak trips will be made up of HGV's. A total of 460 HGV trips are forecast daily. It is however acknowledged that an end user is yet to be identified for the development proposal and the quantum of HGV trips could therefore vary significantly from these assumptions.

Growth

TEMPRO has been utilised in forecast background growth to 2021 which is the assumed final occupancy. This approach in addition to the distribution methodology is listed as agreed with the City Council during pre-application discussions.

Previous use

The County Council acknowledge that the site has an established planning history. It is unfortunate that trip generation data for the previous occupants (Ford) has not been collected to enable this to be accurately quantified. TRICS has therefore been utilised to assume the potential trip generation associated with the permitted use.

The Transport Assessment discusses that the current planning application makes up around 60% of former Ford factory site although I note this assumption is yet to be agreed with Southampton City Council.

Whilst the County Council acknowledge that the proposed use is within the total potential trip generation of the previous use, it is considered appropriate for the remaining proportion of the development site to be treated as 'committed development' as these

areas could be brought back into use. This has been reflected in the Transport Assessment.

The subsequent methodology of subtracting the trip generation of the remaining floor area from the total floor area in order to quantify the net impact of the development proposal is not considered to accurately reflect the potential impact of the development proposal. Whilst the County Council acknowledge the site is the subject of an existing planning consent and this should be considered in terms of traffic impact, the data provided to support these assumptions is somewhat generic. It has also been some time since the former use has been fully operational.

Table 5.8 of the Transport Assessment confirms that in the post development scenario it is forecast that vehicular trips on Wide Lane will increase by 8.1% during the AM peak period, 7.6% during the PM peak period and 11% daily.

Whilst the Transport Assessment describes this as the worst case scenario due to background growth and the fall-back position of the consented site, the County Council are minded that this provides a realistic assumption in terms of traffic impact, particularly in light of the fact that an end user is yet to be identified. The County Council therefore consider it reasonable for any impacts of the development proposal upon the highway network to be mitigated.

Operational Assessments

The impact of the development proposal upon the junctions within the jurisdiction of Hampshire County Council have been considered.

The following scenarios have been assessed within the transport assessment

2016 Base
2016 Base + Existing Ford Site (EFS)
2021 Base
2021 Base + EFS
2021 Base + Development
2021 Base + EFS + Development

A335/Wide Lane roundabout

This junction has been modelled utilising ARCADY 7. The resultant outputs confirm that the junction is approaching theoretical capacity during the 2016 base scenario. The operation of the junction is shown to deteriorate in each subsequent scenario such that in the post development plus EFS assessment (2021) forecasts significant queuing on all arms during both peak periods with an estimated queue of 84 vehicles on Wide Lane westbound during the AM peak period and 96 vehicles on Wide Lane northbound during the PM peak period. Whilst it is acknowledged that once RFC values exceed 1 forecast queue lengths can be unreliable, it is clear that during the future year post development scenario the junction will be operating significantly beyond theoretical capacity.

Spitfire Roundabout

This roundabout has also been modelled utilising ARCADY 7. The resultant outputs demonstrate that the roundabout is operating within capacity during the 2016 scenario. The introduction of both the EFS and proposed development trips are demonstrated to result in a similar level of deterioration to the operation of the junction such that by 2021

post development plus EFS scenario both the Mitchell Way and Wide Lane NB are forecast to be operating above acceptable limits during the PM peak period.

Whilst the Transport Assessment considers that no improvements are necessary as a result of the development proposal, this view is not shared by the County Council.

Wide Lane/A27 Mansbridge Road Roundabout

This junction falls within the jurisdiction of Southampton City Council and the operation of the junction has therefore not been reviewed by the County Council.

Mitigation Requirements

The Transport Assessment acknowledges that the Eastleigh Borough Council Interim Strategic Transport Study (2015) identifies potential improvements to the Wide Lane bridge, however the impact of the development upon this constraint has not been included in the Transport Assessment work despite the adjacent roundabouts being considered. The County Council are minded that the A335/Wide Lane roundabout, Spitfire Roundabout and the Wide Lane bridge should be considered holistically.

As demonstrated in the Transport Assessment, both roundabout junctions are forecast to be the subject of congestion in the future year post development scenario when tested in isolation. The existing bridge structure which is located directly between these junctions, has a right turn bend in the southbound direction resulting in poor alignment, together with the narrow carriageway. HGV's negotiating the bend are currently over running the centre line and are unable to pass each other at the same time. This regularly results in vehicles queuing back in both directions and is considered to have a direct impact on the operation of both the A335/Wide Lane Roundabout and the Spitfire Roundabout. The proposed development is forecast to generate circa 460 HGV movements each day. It is also worthy to note that the height of the existing structure does not meet current design standards and the lifespan of the existing structure is also limited.

The Policy section of the Transport Assessment acknowledges that 'any scheme would provide significant improvements to the local highway network and open up the potential for further development along Wide Lane.'

The County Council have recently progressed study work in order to identify a preferred scheme in order to accommodate forecast growth in this vicinity.

The scheme identified in the feasibility study includes the provision of a new single carriageway structure to the west of the existing Wide Lane bridge. It would have a 7.3m carriageway width with a 3.5m shared use footway in the eastern side and 2m footway on the western side which will significantly enhance access by sustainable modes. Alterations at the A335/Wide Lane roundabout and provision of signal control and alterations at Spitfire Roundabout are also included in the proposal in order to relieve forecast future congestion along this corridor and facilitate future growth. Whilst these proposals remain at an early feasibility stage, the forecast cost associated with delivering the above exceeds £40m.

The County Council acknowledge that the current application is the subject of a former use which historically generated movements across Wide Lane bridge, although as set out above it has been some time since the site was fully operational and survey data to quantify the previous trip generation is not available. Although the transport assessment does not assess the impact of the development upon Wide Lane bridge specifically,

operational assessments of the development proposals on the adjacent roundabout junctions demonstrate that the development is forecast to result in an impact at these junctions such that in the future year post development scenario they are operating beyond theoretical capacity. The existing bridge, which does not allow HGV's to pass one another will impede development related trips accessing the strategic highway network.

The County Council consider it necessary to secure a financial contribution of £400,000 towards the proposed improvement scheme. The County Council are minded that this requirement is directly related to the development proposal and this is evidenced by the Transport Assessment which sets out that the proposed development will make up around 11% of daily trips on Wide Lane with the majority of those distributed across the bridge, including some 460 daily HGV movements.

The required sum of £400,000 is considered reasonable in that represents circa 1% of the total scheme cost, this ensures that the previous use of the site has been accounted for fairly. The remaining cost of the scheme is to be met by future development proposals (subject to pooling) and other external funding sources.

The scheme would significantly improve access to Mountpark providing improved safety and resilience for HGV movements along with longevity and additional capacity over the Wide Lane Bridge, Spitfire Roundabout and A335/Wide Lane roundabout. The requirement is therefore considered necessary in planning terms.

This requirement is also in accordance with both Local and National Policy. Hampshire County Council's Local Transport Plan The National Planning Policy Framework sets out a requirement for developments to 'ensure "improvements can be undertaken within the transport network that cost effectively limit the significant impacts of the development."' Hampshire County Council's Local Transport Plan (2011-2031) identifies "Ensuring the timely delivery of transport infrastructure to support housing and employment growth and regeneration opportunities" as a main challenge for South Hampshire. "supporting economic growth by ensuring the safety, soundness and efficiency of the transport network in Hampshire" as a main priority. Policy A identifies that "development brings with it additional demand for travel. It is essential that transport infrastructure in the vicinity of development sites is improved where necessary to support sustainable access to and from new developments."

Recommendation


The County Council raise no objection to the above application subject to the following Section 106 requirements;

Entering into a Section 278 Agreement with the County Council to deliver the access works as shown indicatively on drawing 15-263/001 D (currently under review by Southampton City Council).

Financial Contribution of £400,000 towards improvements at Spitfire Roundabout, Wide Lane Bridge and Wide Lane/A335 roundabout.

I trust this is acceptable. Should you wish to discuss this further please do not hesitate to contact Gemma McCart on (01962) 846824.

Yours faithfully
Ben Clifton
Team Leader



Scale: 1:2,500

©Crown copyright and database rights 2014 Ordnance Survey 100019679



This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 5

**Planning, Transport & Sustainability Division
Planning and Rights of Way Panel 4th October 2016
Planning Application Report of the Planning and Development Manager**

Application address: 65 Suttones Place			
Proposed development: Change of use from a 4-bed dwelling (Class C3) to a 4-bed House in Multiple Occupation (HMO Class C4) (Retrospective)			
Application number	16/00764/FUL	Application type	FUL
Case officer	Anna Coombes	Public speaking time	5 minutes
Last date for determination:	11/10/2016 (Agreed extension)	Ward	Bevois
Reason for Panel Referral:	5 representations	Ward Councillors	Cllr Burke Cllr Rayment Cllr Barnes-Andrews
Referred by:	N/A	Reason:	N/A

Applicant: Winlo Developments Limited	Agent: Mr Neil March of Southern Planning Practice
--	---

Recommendation Summary:	Conditionally approve
--------------------------------	-----------------------

Community Infrastructure Levy Liable:	Not applicable
--	----------------

Reason for granting Permission:

The development is acceptable taking into account the policies and proposals of the Development Plan as set out below. Other material considerations have been considered and are not judged to have sufficient weight to justify a refusal of the application, and where applicable conditions have been applied in order to satisfy these matters. The scheme is therefore judged to be in accordance with the development plan as required by Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and thus planning permission should therefore be granted. In reaching this decision the Local Planning Authority offered a pre-application planning service and has sought to work with the applicant in a positive and proactive manner as required by paragraphs 186-187 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2012). Policies - SDP1, SDP5, SDP7, SDP9 and H1, H2 and H4 of the City of Southampton Local Plan Review (as amended 2015) and CS13, CS16 and CS19 of the Local Development Framework Core Strategy Development Plan Document (as amended 2015) as supported by the HMO Supplementary Planning Document 2016.

Appendix attached			
1	Development Plan Policies	2	Parking Assessment

Recommendation in Full:
Conditionally approve

1. The site and its context

- 1.1 The application site is a four-storey, end-of-terrace townhouse with integral garage at ground floor and a roof terrace garden area to the rear at first floor. The property comprises a garage, WC and kitchen/diner at ground floor, a lounge, single bedroom/study, WC and roof terrace at first floor, two bedrooms (one ensuite) with a family bathroom at second floor, and 1 bedroom with ensuite and balcony at third floor. There is one off-street car parking space within the integral garage and one on the small driveway to the front of the property.
- 1.2 The property is located within the recent Park Centrale development, on the site of the former New College campus, which is a modern residential development characterised (in the immediate surroundings of the application site) by three and four-storey, terraced townhouses, each benefitting from at least one off-street car parking space within an integral garage and some with an additional car parking space on a driveway to the front of the property. There is a private management scheme operating on site, which controls unauthorised parking within the development.

2. Proposal

- 2.1 The original application sought planning permission for the conversion of this 4 bed C3 family dwelling into a C4 house of multiple occupation (HMO) for 5 people. Following public response to the application, the applicant has been willing to compromise and has submitted an amended scheme for a 4 bed HMO. Since this application was submitted, the property has been recently let by a tenant company to professional tenants.
- 2.2 There will be no change to the size or footprint of the building. The proposed change of use would normally be achievable under a householders' permitted development rights, however planning permission is required for proposals such as this in Southampton due to a city-wide Article 4 Direction, put in place by the Council on 23rd March 2012, which revoked these permitted development rights.
- 2.3 The only external alteration proposed is the addition of an external door at first floor, adjacent to the southern boundary, which would provide access onto the first floor roof terrace. Internal alterations involve converting the existing lounge into a bedroom in order to convert the existing 4 bed dwelling into a 4 bed HMO, conversion of the first floor bedroom/study into an en-suite bathroom, and re-location of the first floor WC to provide a new access on to the roof terrace. The property is served by two car parking spaces; one within the integral garage and one on the property frontage.

3. Relevant Planning Policy

- 3.1 The Development Plan for Southampton currently comprises the "saved" policies of the City of Southampton Local Plan Review (as amended 2015) and the City of Southampton Core Strategy (as amended 2015). The most relevant policies to these proposals are set out at **Appendix 1**.
- 3.2 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) came into force on 27th March 2012 and replaces the previous set of national planning policy guidance notes and statements. The Council has reviewed the Core Strategy to ensure that it is in compliance with the NPPF and are satisfied that the vast majority of policies accord with the aims of the NPPF and therefore retain their full material weight for decision making purposes, unless otherwise indicated.

- 3.3 Core Strategy CS16 and Saved Local Plan policy H4 are relevant to the determination of planning applications for the change of use to HMOs. Policy CS16 of the Core Strategy states that the contribution that the HMOs makes to meeting housing need should be balanced against the impact on character and amenity of the area. Saved policy H4 of the Local Plan requires new HMOs to respect the amenities of neighbouring properties and the character of the area and to provide adequate private and useable amenity space.
- 3.4 The Houses in Multiple Occupation SPD (HMO SPD), revised in May 2016, provides supplementary planning guidance for policy H4 and policy CS16 in terms of assessing the impact of HMOs on the character and amenity and mix and balance of households of the local area. The SPD sets a maximum threshold of 10% for the total number of HMOs across the city, which is measured from the application site within a 40m radius or the 10 nearest residential properties (section 6.5 of the HMO SPD refers).

4. Relevant Planning History

- 4.1 **07/01061/FUL** - Redevelopment of the site. Demolition of all the existing buildings with the exception of 80 The Avenue, 2 Archers Road, 8 Archers Road, the former Library Building and the original chapel building. Conversion of these existing buildings into flats (except the chapel) and erection of new three, four and five-storey buildings to provide a total of 19 houses and 196 flats with associated access roads, parking and landscaping – CAP 25.03.2008.
- 4.2 There are other recent planning applications on record for the former New College site, however these relate to the later phase of development, in the south-eastern corner of the site, so they have not been included above.

5. Consultation Responses and Notification Representations

5.1 Highways Development Management:

The property is situated in an area of private land where there is on-site parking management. However, just outside of the private development, Archers Road does have sections of unrestricted on-street parking which will be vulnerable to any potential overspill parking. For this reason, it is recommended that a parking survey (in the form of the Lambeth Model) can be conducted to see what the current levels are. However, as this is an amenity issue rather than highway safety, it will hold limited weight to the highway recommendation. The survey should take into consideration the timed restrictions along Archers Road.

The site is situated in a sustainable city centre location and is within walking distances to the City Centre and Portswood. The nature of HMO's and their occupants' lifestyles is more akin to individual living and therefore a long stay cycle parking space should be provided for each bedroom/bedsit.

The waste team has been consulted and confirmed in principle, there are no issues regarding the potential increase in refuse requirements.

If the application is to be recommended for approval, the following condition should be included:

- 1) Details of cycle parking facilities to be submitted and agreed upon in writing by the local planning authority. 1 long stay space shall be provided for each bedroom/bedsit.

5.2 **Environmental Health:**

Environmental Health has no objection in principle, and recommend conditions to restrict the hours of work and to prevent the use of bonfires during construction.

5.3 **Notification Representations**

5.4 Following the receipt of the planning application, a publicity exercise in line with department procedures was undertaken which included notifying adjoining and nearby landowners). At the time of writing the report 5 representations have been received from surrounding residents (5 Objections – two from separate individuals, but living at the same address). The following is a summary of the points raised:

5.5 **Noise disturbance from new external access door: The increased number of occupants and the new access door, close to the boundary with No.66, will result in increased noise disturbance for this neighbouring property.**

RESPONSE: The applicant has agreed to compromise on the number of occupants by reducing it down to 4 bedrooms, in order to reduce the potential impact to neighbouring properties. Given the small-scale nature of the HMO, it is not considered that the development would result in undue noise and disturbance. Furthermore, the Council has powers under separate legislation to take action where occupants do create noise and disturbance to nearby residents. It is also worth noting that the proposed roof terrace access door is moving only approximately 2m closer than the existing access.

5.6 **Increased Parking Pressure: The proposal will result in an increase in the intensity of use of the property, therefore resulting in additional demand for parking in an area already under pressure and additional traffic movements.**

RESPONSE: The impact on parking and on the highway is addressed below.

5.7 **Noise disturbance as a result of the property possibly being occupied by students and the communal space being concentrated at ground floor level, due to the conversion of the first floor lounge.**

RESPONSE: Although the type of occupants cannot be controlled, the applicant has agreed to reduce the number of bedrooms to 4 in order to reduce disturbance for neighbouring occupiers. In addition, the concentration of communal space at ground floor would actually result in reduced noise disturbance for the first floor lounge in adjoining properties.

5.8 **This zone of the Park Centrale development was designated as family housing under the original planning permission, so the proposal is conflicting with this consent. There are also many existing HMOs in the surrounding area, and this proposal would set a precedent for the future, so the proposal will result in an imbalance of households and loss of family townhouses.**

RESPONSE: Policies H4 and CS16 both recognise the need for HMO properties within the city and are supported by the mechanism of the 10% threshold across the city, which ensures that the mix of family homes and other types of accommodation does not become unbalanced. The Council's records show no other existing HMOs within a 40m radius of the application site, so this proposal would be the first HMO within the immediate area. Any future applications for an HMO would also be subject to this threshold test.

If permission is granted, a flexible use condition will be attached, which would allow

the use of the property as either a single family dwelling, or an HMO, to allow flexibility to revert back to a family dwelling in the future.

5.9 Removal of the garage door: This proposal would be out of character with the surrounding area.

RESPONSE: The removal of the garage door is suggested in the Design and Access Statement, but is not shown on the submitted plans. The removal of the garage door would be resisted and the development will need to be completed in accordance with the approved plans, which keep the garage door in-situ. A condition is suggested to retain the retention of the existing doors.

5.10 Impact on internet connections: The additional connections and increased number of occupants proposed will put further pressure on local broadband connections.

RESPONSE: Internet service issues are for the service provider to address and are not a material planning consideration.

5.11 The Leader of the Council is quoted as wanting “family homes to go back to families”.

RESPONSE: The proposal is in accordance with the Council’s managed approach to the growth of HMO accommodation in the city.

6. Planning Consideration Key Issues

6.1 The determining issues for this application relate to whether the proposed change of use from a C3 family dwelling to a C4 HMO is acceptable in principle; the impact of the proposal on parking in the local area; its impact upon the character of the property and local area; and its impact upon the residential amenities of surrounding neighbours and the occupants of the host dwelling

6.2 Principle of Development

6.2.1 When assessing applications for the conversion of a property into a HMO, policy CS16 (2) is applicable where internal conversion works limit the buildings' ability to be re-used as a C3 dwelling house in the future. The proposed conversion does not involve any alterations to the existing property that would compromise the potential to use it as a single-family dwelling. As such, its use could readily change back to a single-family dwelling house in the future. Furthermore, a condition is suggested to enable the use of the property to flexibly switch between a single-family home and a small HMO. The proposal does not, therefore, result in the net loss of a family home and the proposal would be in accordance with policy CS16 of the Core Strategy.

6.2.2 The proposed development is also in accordance with saved policies H1 and H2 of the Local Plan which support the conversion of existing dwellings for further housing and require the efficient use of previously developed land. As confirmed by Core Strategy Policy CS16, the proposed HMO use meets a recognised housing need for single person households or for those with lower incomes and is therefore, acceptable in principle.

6.3 Impact on Parking in the Local Area Page 51

- 6.3.1 The property currently provides one off-street car parking space within the integral garage and one on the front driveway. The parking standards set out in the Houses in Multiple Occupation Supplementary Planning Documents requires a maximum of 3 spaces for a 4 bed HMO. In a similar way, the Parking Standards Supplementary Planning Document also permits a maximum of 3 spaces for C3 dwellings. The adopted standards do not, therefore, differentiate between the parking requirements for the existing and proposed use. The adopted policies do allow for the provision of less parking than the maximum standard where appropriate.
- 6.3.2 Due to the sensitivity of parking issues in the local area, a traffic report was requested to support this application (**Appendix 2**). The findings within the submitted report are supported by a good evidence base, and the report is considered suitable to justify that the provision of 2 parking spaces for a 4 bed HMO use would be sufficient, particularly given this sustainable location in close proximity to public transport links and local facilities at London Road and the City Centre. As such, the level of car parking proposed is considered to be acceptable and the proposal is not considered to generate a significant increase in the amount of vehicular traffic to Suttones Place.
- 6.3.3 The roads within the Park Centrale development are private, not adopted by the Council's Highways Department, so the management of these roads is under the control of the developer. The design of these roads is a version of the home-zone style, with few designated pavements and a reduced road width, in an attempt to make drivers more aware of their surroundings and give more priority to pedestrians.
- 6.3.4 As a result of this application, the number of bedrooms will not increase. Whilst the use of a C4 HMO property can be more intensive than that of a C3 family dwelling, generating more independent trips, it is also worth noting that parking within the development is tightly controlled by a private management company, and that this development is in a highly sustainable location, within walking distance of local amenities and the City Centre. Therefore, given the details discussed above and taking a balanced assessment of the issues involved, the proposal is not considered to pose a harmful impact on the amenity of local residents.

6.4 Impact upon the Character and Amenity

- 6.4.1 There is only a minor change to the visual appearance of the property, so the impact on character and amenity comes from the change in the intensity of use of the property. The revised proposal is for a modest-sized HMO with 4 bedrooms, therefore no net increase in the number of bedrooms in the property.
- 6.4.2 The HMO SPD sets out that the maximum number of HMOs within a 40 metre radius of the application property should not exceed 10%. As such, if the percentage of HMOs within a 40m radius exceeds 10%, applications for future additional HMOs will be refused for being contrary to policy.
- 6.4.3 17 residential properties were identified within a 40m radius of the application site. 6 of these were flatted blocks containing 1 and 2 beds flats, so these are excluded from the count, leaving 11 properties. Based upon information held by the City Council's Planning, Council Tax and Licensing departments, it has been identified that there are no HMOs within the area at the current time. When the application site is included, there would be 1 HMO out of the 11 remaining properties within the 40m radius, which equates to 9%. This is below the 10% threshold.

6.4.4 The threshold approach, as set out in the HMO Supplementary Planning Document (HMO SPD), is a key way to manage the impacts of HMOs on residential amenity. Although the use of this property as a HMO would be different to that of a C3 family dwelling, it is not considered to give rise to a level of activity that would be significantly harmful, particularly given that the revised plans now show no net increase in bedrooms. It is also worth noting that the Council's Environmental Health Team have no objection to the proposal. As such, the use of this property as a HMO is not considered likely to have a significant impact on the residential amenities of nearby residential occupiers.

6.4.5 Although it is recognised that HMO properties generally generate more 'comings and goings' than a family dwelling, there are no other HMOs recorded within in a 40m radius of the front door of the application site. Taking this into account, along with the modest size of the HMO and the fact that the number of occupants can be controlled by condition, it is not considered that the proposal will result in significant harm to the character of the area or the surrounding residents.

6.5 Quality of the Residential Environment

6.5.1 The proposal retains a communal room at ground floor and all habitable rooms have good quality outlook from windows. Residents have access to a private and useable roof terrace garden. There will be no negative impact on the existing private amenity space to the rear, or the provision of internal living accommodation as a result of the development and, therefore, the amenity of the occupants of the host dwelling shall not be harmed. Refuse and recycling bin storage and collection will continue to operate as per the existing arrangements. Secure, covered, cycle storage is available within the existing garage and further details of this provision can be obtained via condition. The garage is a sufficient size to accommodate this and retain one car parking space within the garage.

7. Summary

7.1 The use of this property as a HMO is considered to be acceptable and would not be detrimental to residential amenity, the character of the surrounding area or highway safety. This proposal does not increase the size or footprint of the existing building and there is only a minor change to the visual appearance of the rear elevation of the building. In addition to this, the proposal is for a modest sized HMO, so the proposal is not considered out of character with the property or the local area. It is not considered that there will be any significant harm caused to the residential amenity of neighbours caused by overlooking, or to the occupiers of the host dwelling caused by impact on the internal living accommodation.

8. Conclusion

The proposal for the change of use of the property from a 4 bed C3 family dwelling to a 4 bed C4 small HMO is considered to be acceptable in principle, as unacceptable harm shall not be caused to neighbouring amenity or highway safety. In addition, the change of use is not considered to cause harm to the character of the property or local area, and the amenity of the occupants of the host dwelling shall not be harmed. For these reasons the scheme can be supported.

Documents used in the preparation of this report Background Papers

1(a), 1(b), 1(c), 1(d), 2(b), 2(d) and 4(f)

AC for 04/10/2016 PROW Panel

PLANNING CONDITIONS

01. Approved Plans

The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the approved plans listed in the schedule attached below, unless otherwise agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.

02. C3/C4 dual use (Performance Condition)

A dual C3 (dwellinghouse) and/or C4 (House in multiple occupation) use is hereby permitted and shall be for a limited period of 10 years only from the date of this Decision Notice (under Class V, Part 3, Schedule 2 of the Town and County Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 2015). The use that is in operation on the tenth anniversary of this Decision Notice shall thereafter remain as the permitted use of the property.

Reason: In order to provide greater flexibility to the development and to clarify the lawful use hereby permitted and the specific criteria relating to this use

Note to applicant: Whilst this planning permission allows occupation of the building as both a single dwelling and by a shared group, you are advised that an HMO that is licensed needs to have that license revoked before the building can lawfully be occupied again as a single dwelling.

03. Limitation on number of occupants

The property shall be occupied by no more than 4 people without the grant of further specific permission from the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To control the number of occupants of the property to protect the residential amenity of both the occupiers of the host dwelling and neighbouring residents.

04. Room restrictions

The kitchen/dining room and bathrooms shall remain as communal space for the occupiers of the dwelling throughout the occupation of the building as a Class C4 HMO and shall at no time be used as bedrooms unless otherwise agreed upon in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To maintain sufficient residential environment for occupiers and to ensure that there is not intensification of use of the site as a whole.

05. Permitted Development Restriction

Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 2015 or any Order amending, revoking or re-enacting that Order, no building or structures within Schedule 2, Part 1, Classes as listed below shall be erected or carried out to any dwelling house hereby permitted without the prior written consent of the Local Planning Authority:

Class A (enlargement of a dwelling house), including a garage or extensions,
Class B (roof alteration),

Class C (other alteration to the roof),
Class D (porch),
Class E (curtilage structures).

Reason: In order that the Local Planning Authority may exercise further control in this locality given the specific circumstances of the application site and in the interests of the comprehensive development and visual amenities of the area.

06. Cycle storage facilities

4 long-stay cycle storage spaces shall be made available for use and retained in accordance with the approved plans, whilst the property is in residential use.

Reason: To encourage cycling as an alternative form of transport.

07. Refuse & Recycling

The storage for refuse and recycling containers shall be made available for use and retained whilst the property is in residential use.

Reason: In the interest of visual and residential amenity.

08. Retention of Garage Doors

Notwithstanding the plans hereby approved, the external garage doors shall be retained in situ.

Reason: In the interests of visual amenity and to reduce opportunities for crime and anti-social behaviour.

POLICY CONTEXT

Core Strategy - (as amended 2015)

CS13	Fundamentals of Design
CS16	Housing Mix and Type
CS19	Car and Cycle Parking

City of Southampton Local Plan Review – (as amended 2015)

SDP1	Quality of Development
SDP5	Parking
SDP7	Urban Design Context
SDP9	Scale, Massing & Appearance
H1	Housing Supply
H2	Previously Developed Land
H4	Houses in Multiple Occupation

Supplementary Planning Guidance

Houses in Multiple Occupation (Adopted - May 2016)
Residential Design Guide (Adopted - September 2006)
Parking Standards (Adopted – October 2011)

Other Relevant Guidance

The National Planning Policy Framework (2012)



TRANSPORT STATEMENT

Change of use from a 4-bed dwelling (Class C3) to a 4-bed HMO (Class C4)

65 Suttones Place, Southampton, SO15 2SJ

1.0 Introduction

1.1 This statement is in support of the above planning application (ref: 16/00764/FUL), which is currently being considered by Southampton City Council.

1.2 The property is a four storey town house, situated within the 'Park Centrale' development built by Linden Homes in 2012. It is located just to the north of the city centre, close to The Avenue, and the wide range of amenities in Bedford Place and London Road.

1.3 The site is situated in a sustainable location, as acknowledged by the Highways Development Management Officer, Vanessa White, in her consultation response:

"the site is situated in a sustainable city centre location and is within walking distances to the City Centre and Portswood".

1.4 There is a private parking management scheme in operation in Suttones Place and James Weld Close, which prevents parking other than in designated areas. Outside of the development, along Archers Road, there are sections of unrestricted on-street parking, which are well used. It was recommended that a parking survey be conducted, to see what the current levels are. However, this is an amenity / convenience issue rather than highway safety and therefore will hold limited weight to the highways recommendation.

1.5 The property has 2 off-street parking spaces, which includes an integral garage.

1.6 The SCC HMO SPD 2016¹ requires a *maximum* of 3 parking spaces to be provided for 4 bed HMO's.

¹ Southampton Local Plan, Houses in Multiple Occupation Supplementary Planning Document (Adopted May 2016)

- 1.7 In high accessibility areas², the parking requirement for a 4 bed HMO is reduced to 2 parking spaces.

Note: The existing property is a 4 bed family dwelling with 2 parking spaces. The parking situation will not change, i.e. there will be no *net difference* in parking provision between the existing and proposed use of the property (as a 4 bed HMO).

- 1.8 Provision of less than the maximum parking standard is permissible. Developers must demonstrate that the amount of parking provided will be sufficient if they provide a lower quantity.
- 1.9 The case officer has asked for further information to be provided, in order to justify a relaxation of the parking standards in this particular case.

2.0 Policy CS19 – Car & Cycle Parking

- 2.1 Policy CS19 of the adopted Core Strategy states that parking for all development must have regard to the Council's maximum car parking and minimum cycle parking standards which will be set out in a Supplementary Planning Document. The following criteria will also be taken into account when assessing car parking provision:

1. the scale and travel needs of the development;
2. the level of Public Transport Accessibility (PTAL map);
3. the location of the development;

² Areas deemed as having 'high accessibility' (as defined in the Southampton City Council – Parking Standards Supplementary Planning Document, Sept 2011) will be:

- Within 4 minutes walk (300 metres straight line distance) of a bus route served by a bus on average every 3 minutes or less in each direction (20 or more buses per hour per direction) in the weekday daytime;

And / or


- Within 500m straight line distance of Southampton Central Railway Station

Note: The accessibility areas plan in Figure 5 of the Parking Standards SPD is not related to the PTAL maps used in the LDF Core Strategy. The two maps are unrelated.

4. the density of the development;
5. parking schemes such as car clubs, other parking arrangements and current controls/restrictions;
6. spaces for disabled people where appropriate.

3.0 Location of the development

- 3.1 The property is situated just off The Avenue. There are two bus stops (one north-bound and one south-bound) a few minutes walk away (see plan below).



Map showing the location of bus stops near to the site and pedestrian footpath through the development

- 3.2 The south-bound bus stop provides regular bus services to the City Centre (up to 16 times an hour during peak times).
- 3.3 The north bound bus stop provides regular bus services to Winchester, Chandlers Ford, Eastleigh, Fair Oak, Southampton Airport and Bassett Green (up to a total of 15 buses per hour).
- 3.4 There is a pedestrian link through the development that allows easy access to Bedford Place (via Carlton Road).
- 3.5 Southampton Central train station is approximately 0.9 mile (18 minute walk).

4.0 High accessibility / PTAL (Public Transport Accessibility Level)

- 4.1 A copy of the accessibility map from the SCC Parking Standards SPD is attached at Appendix 1. This shows that the application site is marginally outside of the City Centre high accessibility area, which extends as far north as Rockstone Place (150m distance away from the application site).
- 4.2 A copy of the PTAL map is attached at Appendix 2.
- 4.3 PTAL is defined in the Glossary of the Core Strategy as, 'Broadly shows higher levels of accessibility by rail, bus, cycle and reflect pedestrian connectivity to routes' (underlined for emphasis).
- 4.4 Despite only being a short distance north of the city centre, on the PTAL map the site is shown as being on the cusp of Bands 3 (green) and 4 (pink) and is therefore falls within the medium accessibility range. However, it should be noted that Bands 4 (pink) and 5 (blue) are very narrow at this point. The highest accessibility area (Band 6 – brown) extends as far north as the corner of Carlton Road and Carlton Crescent, which is only 240m (straight distance) away from the application site.
- 4.5 The pedestrian route through the development provides direct access onto Carlton Road and therefore aids the sites accessibility.

5.0 2011 Census

- 5.1 The 2011 census includes data on 'Method of travel to work'.
- 5.2 This data is displayed on datashine.org.uk website as coloured coded areas and can be used to compare and analyse the typical ratios of how residents in particular areas of Southampton travel to work.
- 5.3 The results for the area which includes the application site are as follows:

Car / van	29.5%
On foot	19.2%
Bus	6.6%
Bike	5%
Work from home	1.3%
Train	3.7%
Taxi	0.3%
Motorcycle	1.1%
Passenger in car / van	3.4%
Other	0.8%
Not in employment	29.2%
Total	100.1%

Car / Van	29.5%
Other means	41.4%
Not in employment	29.2%

5.4 The proposal involves a 4 bed HMO. If fully occupied, there would be 4 people occupying the property (of a working age). Using the above 2011 census data for 'Method of travel to work', the breakdown for each of the occupants would be as follows:

- 1.2 occupants would drive a car / van to work
- 1.6 occupants would travel to work by other means
- 1.2 occupants would be unemployed

5.5 Assuming that none of the occupants would be unemployed and attributing an equal share of the unemployed category to the other two categories, the breakdown would be as follows:

- 1.8 occupants would drive a car / van to work
- 2.2 occupants would travel to work by other means

5.6 This shows that, on average, approximately 2 of the HMO occupants would drive to work and 2 would travel by other means. This is a highly probable scenario, given the location of the property close to the city centre, the nearby bus stops (with regular bus services) and walking distance to the train station.

5.7 Based on the census data, the provision of 2 off-street parking spaces is therefore justified.

6.0 Applicants reasons for purchasing the property, observations on car ownership / parking and experience with other similar HMO properties

- 6.1 The applicants were attracted to the property due to its contemporary 'town house' design and layout, but also its location, with easy access to the city centre and the shops and bars in Bedford Place and links to public transport, which will appeal to their core target group – young professionals.
- 6.2 They own a number of properties in London and Southampton and the majority of their tenants tend to be young professionals. They try to acquire properties which are well located to public transport and local facilities, as this enables their tenants to commute to work and to travel and socialise without needing to rely on a car. Increasingly, they are finding that a number of their tenants are tending not to own a vehicle, due to affordability / high running costs / insurance.
- 6.3 The applicants own a 6 bed HMO at 64 Oxford Street in Southampton (SO14 3DL). The tenants in that property are young professionals – the type of tenants that they wish to have in Suttones Place. There was no difficulty whatsoever letting the Oxford Street property, despite there only being one parking space (a garage let to one of the tenants) and no nearby public parking, other than expensive on-street 'metered' parking bays at the front of the house. The applicants are not therefore at all concerned about parking being an issue in finding suitable tenants. It should also be noted that the Oxford Street property is a similar distance from Southampton Central train station as Suttones Place.
- 6.4 Prospective occupants of 65 Suttones Place will be fully aware that parking is limited (on and off site). The property is therefore most likely to attract tenants who are not dependant or reliant on private transport.

7.0 Young people's attitudes to car ownership (in accessible urban areas)

- 7.1 There are an increasing number of reports that suggest that developments in technology and changing attitudes of young people today is leading to a reduction in car ownership, particularly those living in urban areas.

- 7.2 An article from 2015 in the Guardian.com entitled, 'End of the car age: how cities are outgrowing the automobile', includes the quote that, "The younger generation are no longer car dependent. They are less likely to have a driving licence than previous generations."
- 7.3 The article explains that Generation Y, the so-called millennials now in their 20s and early 30s who have come of age in the digital era, seem less wedded to possessions than their baby boomer predecessors. Surveys show that the one object that is prized is the smartphone, and the future of transport is likely to be based not on individually owned cars but on "mobility as a service" – a phrase supposedly coined by another Finn, Sampo Hietanen, chief executive of Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS) Finland. Consumers will, so the theory goes, use their smartphones to check ultra-detailed travel news, locate car-club cars or bikes, check for parking spaces, call up Uber drivers, and arrange shared rides. Who needs a personally owned car?
- 7.4 An RAC report entitled 'Young Adults' Licence-Holding and Driving Behaviour in the UK' (Dec 2014) notes that since the mid-1990's, there has been a decline in car use amongst young adults, especially young men. Six main classes of reasons have been put forward in as possible explanations of the downward trends in driving licence-holding and car use among young adults, including:
- **Affordability** – the report suggests that it is not just the costs of buying and maintaining a car (e.g. tax, insurance, servicing fuel, etc), but also the 'burden of a car'. It gives the example of the problem finding an appropriate place to keep the car because of the lack of parking spaces, especially given that young adults are more likely to reside in urban areas, living in flats, houses with multiple occupancy and house-shares.
 - **Location and transport** - Several studies have found that access to good public transport and being able to get around without driving is a common reason for not holding a driving licence. As a result, locality may have become increasingly important as a factor in determining young adults' car use.
 - **Attitudes to car use** – Some reports suggest that owning a car is no longer seen as a symbol of independence or of social status and that among the young generation, other electronic gadgets such as smartphones and tablets may have replaced the car as a status symbol.

- **E-communication** – Some studies suggest that increasing availability of e-communication reduces young adults’ need for mobility, as it allows them to connect with each other without actually having to travel. Smartphone applications also facilitate the use of public transport, as real-time information about travel times, connections and so forth is increasingly available.
- **Delayed transitions to adulthood** – spending longer in education, delayed entry into the labour force and forming families at later ages have all been suggested as reasons why the need for a car – and the financial ability to pay for one – may be being delayed to later ages.

7.5 This research supports the case that in accessible urban locations, young people are increasingly less likely to own a car.



7.6 It therefore provides additional support and justification for the proposed level of off-street parking proposed in this application. The provision of 2 parking spaces would be sufficient in this location and given the type of occupants who are likely to reside in the HMO.

8.0 Alternative parking options

8.1 Should the need arise, there are options to rent a parking space within the local area, in the event that there is no on-street parking available outside or near to the site.

8.2 www.yourparkingspace.co.uk is an established website that advertises parking spaces to rent on a monthly / yearly basis in locations nationwide. A recent search revealed two spaces available for £125 a month within a 450m radius of the application site.

8.3 A recent search on Gumtree also found a parking space available for rent for £75 a month, a short distance away along Archers Road (approximately 230m):

	<p>Parking space for rent in town Parking space available on archers road dorrick court . £75pm . Close to Bedford place and town centre Date available: 04 Sep 2016 Southampton, Hampshire</p>	<p>£75pm 1 day ago </p>
---	---	--

8.4 Young people using smart phone technology are well adept at using the internet for all types of services, including parking availability within their local area, if required.

9.0 Conclusion

9.1 The site is in a sustainable location (as acknowledged by the Council's Highways officer).

9.2 It is only just outside of the city centre 'high accessibility' area (by a couple of hundred metres) where a reduced parking requirement of 2 spaces would be acceptable.

9.3 There are bus stops a short distance away (on The Avenue) providing regular services, up to 16 buses an hour, to the City Centre and other commutable destinations beyond Southampton (including Chandlers Ford, Eastleigh and Winchester). Southampton Central train station is only a 18 min walk away.

9.4 The footpath through the development provides quick pedestrian access to facilities in Bedford Place and the City Centre.

9.5 Data in the 2011 census on 'Method of travel to work' indicates that, on average, 50% of the occupants of the proposed HMO would travel to work by car and the other 50% would travel by other means. This supports the provision of 2 off-street parking spaces in this location.

9.6 Studies indicate that there is a growing trend of reduced car use amongst young adults, especially in urban locations. Good public transport and being able to get around without driving are cited as reasons why young adults might not hold a driving licence. The cost of buying and running a car, and also the 'burden of a car', i.e. difficulty being able to park in urban areas, are further reasons why there is reduced car ownership amongst young adults.

9.7 There are opportunities to rent private parking spaces in the area using dedicated websites and smartphone technology.

9.8 The information / evidence set out in this statement therefore provides justification why the provision of 2 off-street parking spaces (1 less than required in the HMO SPD) is sufficient, given the nature of the development proposed, the type of people most likely to occupy the accommodation and its accessible location.

**Neil March Bsc (Hons) Dip TP MRTPI
Associate Planner**





Figure 5: Plan of standard and high accessibility zones, June 2011

Plan showing standard and high accessibility areas (Parking Standards SPD)

Appendix 2


Public Transport Accessibility Level (PTAL) Map for Southampton

Accessibility between 7 - 10 am (Morning Peak) on Monday to Sunday (from Halcrow Parking Review July 2007)



PTAL map (Core Strategy)

16/00764/FUL



Scale: 1:625

©Crown copyright and database rights 2014 Ordnance Survey 100019679

This page is intentionally left blank